MORPHO SEMANTICS, NOUN ANALYZATION OF MORPHEMES WITH SUFFIXES *FUL AND *LESS.

By: Khayitbaev Otakhon Shokirovich Occupation: Lecturer in Mamun University E mail: <u>xayitbayev_otaxon@mamunedu.uz</u> Phone number: +998996225999

2025

Abstract: These days, there is a great deal of discussion on the morphosemantic purpose of suffixes like as "full" and "less," amongst others. However, it appears that in order to get more knowledge about them, we need to address the qualities of the nouns with which they are related in order to talk about the features of the nouns.

This study will present a corpus analysis (COCA) of the suffixes "ful" and "less," as well as the specialties of the nouns to which they are attached, such as similarities and differences in form and meaning, word roots, expression combinations, and so on. The suffixes "ful" and "less" will also be analyzed in conjunction with the nouns to which they are attached. This research, which focuses mostly on nouns, makes an effort to discern between the various use categories and then kinds of nouns with the assistance of COCA. The primary emphasis of this study is on nouns.

1. Introduction

Corpus-based research in the productivity of word production have proven that massive computer corpora may be usefully exploited to find long-sought answers to concerns connected to the issue of morphology. These solutions have



been sought for a very long time. This point has been driven home by the fact that people have been looking for answers to these issues for a very long time. (e.g. Baayen, 1992, 1993; Baayen & Lieber, 1991; Baayen & Renouf, 1995; Baayen & Neijt, 1997; Plag, 1999). The suffixes that have been discussed thus far are all antonyms, and the meaning, or, to put it more precisely, the ultimate meaning, of any connected morpheme would be the same regardless of whatever suffix was attached to it. In this analysis, the morphemes serve as the major point of concentration due to the fact that they represent the primary source of semantic support for the final product (when morpheme connected with the suffix). There, we have the ability to ask numerous questions, one of which is, "What categories of nouns (morphemes) do they commonly prefer to connect?" Do they exhibit any qualities that are shared by both their outward look and the message they convey? How do they jumble themselves up in various sorts of phrases? We are making an effort to obtain answers to these issues with the assistance of the COCA.

Key words: Morphosemantics, suffix, corpus analysis (COCA), "ful" and "less", Corpus-based research

2. Methodology

One of the corpora that was used in this investigation was the Corpus of Contemporary American English, which is usually referred to by its acronym, COCA. COCA is one of the biggest accessible corpora and includes data on the English language from the years 1990 to 2022, making it one of the most comprehensive corpora. This specific corpus comprises a quantity of corpus that draws from an electronic database of words and has one billion words in total.

Data analyzation.

The major linguistic qualities at stake have, for the most part, already been labeled, and it is possible to discover them via the use of the online search tools

Ma

supplied by the corpora. As a consequence of this, and in order to make the most of the adaptable search features provided by the COCA, the method for searching and evaluating the data used in this investigation is almost broken down into many stages: first, a query of the overall frequencies of -less and -ful in COCA; second, a query of the frequencies of each type of N-less and N-ful constructions; and third, an analysis of the results; third, I analyze nouns and try to find form and semantic similarities and differences; fourth, I include also "awesome" and "awful" expressions, as there are also some interesting points to add to our topic. Firstly, a query of the overall frequencies of

3. Analysis

This page provides a COCA frequency chart for the word "ful," which we may go at at our leisure. Nouns make up the vast majority of the connected morphemes, with the word "beautiful" being the noun that shows up most often in contexts. As can be seen from the above list, the vast majority of these nouns have the form of abstract nouns. There are certain morphemes that are analogous to the ones that are shown in the next two pictures, which are: (useful, helpful, harmful, hopeful and harmful). These morphemes are shared by both of these sets of conditions; nonetheless, the meanings that are conveyed by their suffixes could not be more different from one another. The great majority of morphemes can be differentiated from another when other morphemes. compared one to

17.17 H			RCH FREQUENCY	CONTEXT	ACCOUNT
				COLOR MANAGER AND	
CUCK: 1	100	-	ALL FORMAS CHAMPLES INC. DOT NOT		
	0		DEALTHUR .	12//754	Indexed and a second
-	0	-	BUCCESTITIA	79241	
-		1	POWLIBUL	20404	
-	0	-	WONDERFOR	F1008	
	0	-	USEPUL	49400	
	0	-	CAREFIL	47000	
2		-	HELPEL	20725	
		2	awp.s.	27565	
-18		0	PANINA	22303	
20		-	INATERA.		
11		-	MEANINGPUS	21003	
12	0	4	HANDRUL	20488	
33	0		PEACEFUL	10368	
24	0	2	FACTOPUL	122705 000000	
15		-	THOUGHTELS	111/2	
96			COLORNIA	10173	
37		+	HOPEPUL	10294	
18		+	HARDING	0.9411	
294		-	THATREFUL	8.09	
20		-	starssha	6468	
21		-	(FEADTUL)	14238	

https://scientific-jl.com/luch/



Часть-44_ Том-4_ Май-2025

SEARCH					CHAI	RT		CONTEXT					ACCOUNT			
k to see conte Section	a All	BLOG	WEB	TWM	SPOK	RC	MAG	NEWS	ACAD	1990-94	1995-99	2000-04	2005-09	2010-14	2015-19	
FREQ	846575	123019	123201	100331	95301	104507	114904	84860	101052	100383	104573	103287	99574	99225	93363	
WORDS (M)	993	128.6	124.3	128.1	126.1	118.3	126.1	121.7	119.8	121.1	1252	124.6	123.1	123.3	122.8	
PER WIL	852.52	956.50	991.58	783.38	755.54	883.24	906.52	697.05	843.57	828.47	835.18	828.79	809.21	804.43	760.58	
SEE ALL L/B-SECTIONS AT ONCE					Π		FIRE		(CET)	Π						

The second figure shows a frequency distribution of words that have the suffix -less attached to the end of the word. The same problematic situation may be seen at this place as well. Having said that, there is a significant difference that should be brought out. In contrast to what was covered before, the most majority of them are nouns that fall into either the category of being common or concrete. Of course, there are also some abstract nouns, but the percentage is much smaller when compared to the morphemes that combine with "-ful."

	1.4	CO.	RCH FREQUENCY	CONTEXT		ACCOUNT
	13	HE PA	PREQUENCI	CONTENT		ACCOUNT !
иск 🛐		è U				
1617P	æ	٠	ALLYONNS (SAMPLE) 100 200 500		Heo	107AL 804.958 VINIOUE 5142 +
1	0	*	LESS		339474	-
2	0	*	UNLES5		88657	
3	0	Ħ	REGARDLESS		35176	
4	0	*	NEVERTHELESS		24452	
5	0	*	HOMELESS.		20255	
6	0	*	NONETHELESS		12595	
7	0	*	ENDLESS .		17196	
#) · · ·	0	×	SLE15		13625	
9	0	*	USELESS		13250	
10	0	*	COUNTLESS		12258	
11	0	×	WRELESS.		11672	
12	0	*	HELPLESS		6880	1
15	0	*	MEAMINGLESS		6798	1
14	0	*	WORTHLESS	1.1	6444	1
15	0	*	HARMLESS		문제대	1
16	0	×	RELENTLESS		5867	1
17.	0	*	RECKLESS:		5792	1
18	0	*	HOPELESS		5411	1.1
19	0	*	POINTLESS		50100	1.0
20	0	*	NEEDLESS		4942	1.6
21	0	*	RESTLESS	1 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	4937	1
	0		RUTHLESS		4125	

Figure 1

https://scientific-jl.com/luch/



	SEARCH				CHAR	r)			CON	ITEXT			HE	LP	
CK TO SEE CONTE										-					
SECTION	41	8.00	ntil	TVM.	AGE	1C	MAS	48W5	AC4D	1890-94	1995-20	2000-04	,2005-09	2010-14	2015-1
FREQ	804901	131015	128433	59095	E1483	89752	126084	92433	112555	95447	94556	94564	91569	86949	8232
WORDS (MI	963	128.6	124.3	128.1	126.1	11B.3	126.1	321.7	119,8	(2).1	125.2	124.8	122.1	123.3	1222
FERML	810.52	1,516,67	1,033.64	461,41	487.52	792.35	900.94	759.25	938/01	788.12	755.18	758.79	744.15	704,91	670.6
SEE ALL SUB SECTIONS												F		Ser.	1

Another topic that has been discussed in the past is one that has the potential to be discussed once again now. At first look, the morphemes (terrible and amazing) may give the impression that the word "awe" is the root of both of these adjectives; however, this is not the case. To do so, however, would be incorrect due to the fact that the words from which these two morphemes are formed are completely another from separate (aw, and one awe) **aw** interjection Save Word 1001

Definition of aw (Entry 1 of 2)

---used to express mild disappointment, gentle entreaty, or real or mock sympathy or sentiment

It is clear from the context that this is an interjection, and that it expresses a moderate degree of dissatisfaction. The addition of the suffix complete serves to emphasize the meaning of the word even more. It is clear from the corpus that the morpheme is used rather often.

8	0 1	AWFUL	27974	



awful adjective



ISSN:

3030-3680

Definition of awful (Entry 1 of 2)

- 1 : extremely disagreeable or objectionable
 // awful food
 // awful behavior
 // an awful experience
- 2 informal : exceedingly great used as an intensive // an awful lot of money

The word "awe" is the origin of the morpheme "awe," and it has a meaning that is completely unrelated to the meaning of the term we discussed before. This demonstrates that "awe" is a noun and that it may have a variety of meanings (wonder, veneration). When "-some" is added to a phrase, the meaning becomes more profound.



- // had an *owesome* time at the concert
- 2 : expressive of awe // awesome tribute

awe verb

awed; awing

Definition of awe (Entry 2 of 2)

transitive verb

- : to inspire or fill with awe
- // We were awed by the beauty of the mountains.



4. Conclusion.

This page offers a discussion of nouns that are connected to suffixes that are related to (-ful,-less). I have insisted on the suffixal status of (-ful,-less) both in initial and final position by means of an analysis on the processes of grammaticalization that these two forms have gone through, despite the fact that different people have arrived at different conclusions regarding the nature of these two forms. I have done this by insisting that the suffixal status of (-ful,-less) is the same whether it is in initial or final position.

We have counted and compared the morphemes that include these affixes so that we may obtain an estimate of how often they are used. The COCA corpus was used in the research, and the most prevalent morphemes were included into the analysis of the data. One of the research goals for this analysis was to determine how the morphemes differ from one another in both their shape and their meaning. This analysis placed a significant emphasis, among other things, on paying attention to the basic characteristics of the nouns.

As a result of doing this inquiry, I have significantly increased the amount of information I possess. The vast majority of the nouns that are capable of being coupled with these suffixes are abstract nouns. This is because these suffixes are ones that form adjectives. As an additional point of interest, these two antonym suffixes do not have any nouns in common with one another. This is due to the fact that I was only able to recognize five nouns from the top 20 most common pairs. The production of mostly adjectives from abstract nouns is facilitated by these morphologically classified suffixes, which play a role in the process. Nouns are less descriptive than their adjective counterparts. When the antonym of a noun is produced by adding another suffix to the original noun, the form of the morphemes that make up the noun do not change. This is because the construction of the antonym follows the same rules as the construction of the original noun.



References, cites.

https://www.english-corpora.org/coca/

Corpus Linguistics Method, Theory and Practice (Tony McEnery and Andrew Hardie 2012)

https://www.academia.edu/

https://scholar.google.com/