



THE IMPORTANCE OF L1 IN SECOND LANGUAGE ACQUISITION

O'ZBEKISTON DAVLAT JAHON TILLARI UNIVERSITETI

NAZARIY FANLAR KAFEDRASI O'QITUVCHISI

JO'RAYEVA IRODA XUSNIDDIN QIZI

Abstract: *This article explores the role of a learner's first language (L1) in the process of second language acquisition (SLA). Drawing on key theories such as Krashen's Input Hypothesis, Cummins' Interdependence Hypothesis, and sociocultural perspectives, the paper highlights both the facilitating and hindering effects of L1 on learning a second language (L2). While L1 can serve as a cognitive and pedagogical tool, helping learners understand and retain new linguistic concepts, excessive reliance on L1 may lead to negative transfer and reduced immersion in the target language. Through a balanced review of research and practical implications, this study underscores the need for thoughtful integration of L1 in L2 classrooms, advocating for strategic use that supports comprehension without limiting exposure to authentic L2 input. The paper concludes with recommendations for educators on how to effectively harness the benefits of L1 while minimizing its potential drawbacks.*

Keywords: *First language (L1), second language acquisition (SLA), language transfer, bilingual education, cognitive support, language pedagogy.*

Annotatsiya: *Ushbu maqolada o'quvchining ona tili (L1) ikkinchi tilni o'zlashtirish (SLA) jarayonidagi roli o'rganiladi. Krashenning "kiritma gipotezasi", Kummensning "o'zaro bog'liqlik nazariyasi" hamda ijtimoiy-madaniy yondashuvlarga asoslanib, maqolada L1ning L2 o'rganishga bo'lgan ijobiy va salbiy ta'sirlari yoritib beriladi. L1 yangi til tushunchalarini tushunishda va yodda saqlashda yordamchi vosita bo'lishi mumkin, biroq L1ga ortiqcha tayanish salbiy transfer va L2ga sho'ng'ishni kamaytiradi. Tadqiqotlar va amaliy tavsiyalar asosida maqolada L2 ta'limida L1dan oqilona foydalanish zarurligi ta'kidlanadi. Muallif o'qituvchilar uchun L1ning foydali jihatlarini qanday samarali qo'llash bo'yicha tavsiyalar beradi.*

Kalit so‘zlar: *Ona tili (L1), ikkinchi tilni o‘zlashtirish (SLA), til transferi, ikki tilli ta’lim, kognitiv yordam, til pedagogikasi.*

Аннотация: *В статье рассматривается роль родного языка (L1) учащегося в процессе овладения вторым языком (SLA). Опираясь на ключевые теории, такие как гипотеза входа Крашена, гипотеза взаимозависимости Каминса и социокультурный подход, автор раскрывает как положительное, так и отрицательное влияние L1 на изучение второго языка (L2). Родной язык может служить когнитивным и педагогическим инструментом, способствующим усвоению и запоминанию новой лексики и грамматики, однако чрезмерная зависимость от L1 может вызвать негативный перенос и снизить погружение в целевой язык. В статье подчеркивается необходимость разумной интеграции L1 в преподавание L2 и даются рекомендации для педагогов по эффективному использованию родного языка с минимизацией его потенциальных недостатков.*

Ключевые слова: *Родной язык (L1), овладение вторым языком (SLA), языковой перенос, билингвальное образование, когнитивная поддержка, языковая педагогика.*

Introduction

Language acquisition, particularly the process of learning a second language (L2), is not a vacuum activity; rather, it is deeply influenced by a learner’s existing linguistic, cognitive, and cultural background. Among the most influential factors in second language acquisition (SLA) is the learner’s first language (L1). The role of L1 in acquiring L2 has sparked considerable debate among educators, linguists, and policymakers. For some, L1 is seen as a helpful scaffold that facilitates comprehension and bridges the gap between known and unknown linguistic systems. For others, it is considered an obstacle that may reinforce dependency and hinder full immersion in the target language.

In traditional language teaching methodologies—particularly those influenced by immersion or direct method approaches—learners were encouraged, and often required, to avoid using their L1. The rationale behind such policies was based on the



belief that avoiding L1 use would force learners to think and communicate in the new language. However, recent developments in psycholinguistics and applied linguistics have challenged this notion, presenting evidence that a learner's L1, when used strategically, can actually enhance L2 development by activating prior knowledge and providing a familiar framework for understanding complex linguistic structures.

Contemporary SLA research emphasizes that the cognitive processes involved in language learning are not isolated. According to Cummins' Interdependence Hypothesis, proficiency in L1 can support the development of L2 proficiency, especially in academic and literacy-related domains. Similarly, Vygotsky's sociocultural theory highlights the importance of scaffolding and social interaction in learning, both of which can be facilitated by the strategic use of L1.

Moreover, the increasing diversity in language classrooms around the world—fueled by migration, globalization, and international education—demands a more flexible and inclusive pedagogical approach. Multilingual learners often draw on their entire linguistic repertoire to make sense of new information, and disregarding or prohibiting L1 in classrooms can undermine their cognitive potential and linguistic identity.

This paper argues that the thoughtful and purposeful use of L1 in second language classrooms does not contradict the goal of language immersion; rather, it complements it by supporting comprehension, promoting metalinguistic awareness, and reducing learner anxiety. By analyzing the theoretical foundations, practical benefits, and potential pitfalls of L1 use in L2 acquisition, this article seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how first language can be a powerful ally in the journey toward second language proficiency.

Theoretical Background

Second language acquisition (SLA) is a multidimensional process that has been studied through various theoretical lenses. A learner's first language (L1) has long been seen as both a potential aid and hindrance in acquiring a second language (L2). The key to understanding the dual role of L1 lies in a nuanced analysis of theoretical perspectives that span cognitive psychology, linguistics, and education. This section



reviews five major theoretical frameworks that elucidate the influence of L1 on SLA: Krashen's Input Hypothesis, Cummins' Interdependence Hypothesis, Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory, Transfer Theory, and the more contemporary concepts of Translanguaging and Code-switching.

Krashen's Input Hypothesis

Stephen Krashen's Input Hypothesis (1985) is one of the most widely referenced theories in SLA. According to Krashen, learners acquire language when they receive input that is slightly above their current level of competence—referred to as “i+1”. While Krashen emphasized the importance of immersion and exposure to meaningful L2 input, he also implicitly allowed for the use of L1 as a tool to ensure that input remains comprehensible. For example, when learners encounter complex grammatical structures or abstract vocabulary, brief explanations in L1 can facilitate understanding and make L2 input more accessible. This aligns with Krashen's view that affective filters (such as anxiety and confusion) should be kept low—something that L1 use can support.

Cummins' Interdependence Hypothesis

Jim Cummins introduced the Interdependence Hypothesis in the context of bilingual education. He proposed that skills acquired in L1, such as literacy, problem-solving, and critical thinking, form a common underlying proficiency (CUP) that supports L2 learning. This view counters earlier assumptions that learning two languages might interfere with one another. Instead, Cummins argued that when learners are competent in their first language, they are better equipped to learn a second one. For example, understanding narrative structures in L1 can help learners comprehend and produce narratives in L2. In academic settings, this hypothesis has important implications: denying learners access to their L1 may not only hinder L2 development but also weaken overall cognitive growth.

Sociocultural Theory (Vygotsky)

Lev Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory (1978) frames language learning as a socially mediated activity. One of his central ideas is the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), defined as the gap between what a learner can do independently



and what they can achieve with the help of a more knowledgeable other. In this context, L1 can serve as a scaffold, allowing teachers and peers to assist learners in building L2 competence. For instance, in collaborative tasks, students may use L1 to negotiate meaning, ask for clarification, or share strategies before expressing themselves in L2. Such interactions not only support linguistic development but also foster confidence and autonomy.

Furthermore, Vygotsky viewed language not merely as a communication tool but as a fundamental vehicle of thought. This has significant implications: if a learner is prevented from using their primary language for thinking, they may struggle to process new concepts or articulate deeper reflections in the second language.

Transfer Theory: Positive and Negative Transfer

Transfer Theory explains how elements of the L1 influence the learning of L2. This influence can be:

- Positive transfer, where similarities between L1 and L2 lead to correct language use (e.g., cognates like “information” in English and “información” in Spanish).
- Negative transfer, or language interference, where L1 habits cause errors in L2 (e.g., word order mistakes, pronunciation issues, or false friends).

Understanding transfer is crucial for both learners and educators. While negative transfer has been criticized, it can serve as a diagnostic tool to identify systematic errors and tailor instruction accordingly. Moreover, raising learner awareness of transfer issues can foster metalinguistic awareness, encouraging students to compare and analyze linguistic features critically.

Translanguaging and Code-Switching

Traditional language instruction often discouraged the use of L1, but modern approaches such as translanguaging offer a more inclusive perspective. Translanguaging is the process by which multilingual speakers fluidly use all their linguistic resources to make meaning, often blending L1 and L2 within a single communicative act. Unlike code-switching—which may be random or unconscious—translanguaging is purposeful and strategic. For example, a student might read a



complex text in L2 and discuss it in L1 to deepen comprehension, or write notes in L1 while planning a presentation in L2.

This approach validates the linguistic identities of learners and reflects real-life multilingual communication. Translanguaging not only enhances comprehension but also reduces the cognitive load, especially in high-stakes academic or professional contexts. Research shows that such practices contribute to deeper content learning, greater classroom participation, and stronger engagement.

Summary of Theoretical Implications

Each of these frameworks offers a unique lens through which to view the role of L1 in SLA:

- Krashen highlights the importance of making input comprehensible.
- Cummins underscores the transfer of cognitive-academic skills.
- Vygotsky emphasizes the social and developmental role of L1.
- Transfer theory identifies both opportunities and risks in language overlap.
- Translanguaging and code-switching embrace the flexible use of language in real-world contexts.

Together, these theories suggest that L1 should not be dismissed or suppressed in language education. Instead, when used strategically, L1 can become a valuable asset—enhancing comprehension, supporting cognition, and affirming learners' identities. The next section will explore specific examples of how L1 positively influences second language learning in both formal and informal educational settings.

Negative Influence of L1 in Second Language Acquisition

While the first language (L1) can be a powerful ally in second language acquisition (SLA), its use is not without risk. Under certain conditions, excessive or uncritical reliance on L1 can inhibit rather than facilitate L2 development. This section explores several ways in which L1 can negatively affect the process of acquiring a second language, with reference to theoretical insights and empirical studies.



Negative Transfer and Linguistic Interference

Perhaps the most well-documented drawback of L1 use in SLA is negative transfer—when learners mistakenly apply rules or patterns from their native language to the target language. This can lead to grammatical errors, incorrect word choices, and mispronunciations. The greater the structural differences between L1 and L2, the higher the likelihood of interference.

Examples:

- A speaker of Uzbek might say "He go to school yesterday" due to L1 grammar not requiring auxiliary verbs for past tense.
- In pronunciation, Spanish speakers may pronounce English "vegetables" as "vege-tah-bles", influenced by L1 phonological patterns.

These errors, if fossilized, can persist despite repeated correction and hinder fluency.

Overdependence and Reduced L2 Exposure

Relying too heavily on L1 can limit a learner's opportunities to actively engage with L2 input. Language acquisition is input-dependent—learners must interact meaningfully with L2 through reading, listening, speaking, and writing. When students default to L1 for explanations, discussions, or even thinking, they risk reducing the cognitive time spent in the target language, thus delaying internalization.

Example: In a classroom where bilingual dictionaries and L1 explanations are overused, students may skip the effort of decoding L2 context clues or inferring meaning—essential skills for long-term L2 competence.

Weakening of L2 Immersion

Language immersion aims to surround the learner with authentic L2 experiences to accelerate acquisition. However, frequent switching to L1 disrupts immersion, particularly in communicative settings such as speaking or group activities. It can also reinforce a psychological boundary, where learners perceive L2 as an "academic subject" rather than a living language for real-world communication.



Example: In speaking tasks, if students are allowed to freely use L1 when they struggle to find a word, they may not build the resilience to paraphrase, gesture, or problem-solve in L2—strategies crucial for real-time communication.

Delay in Thinking in L2

One goal of SLA is to reach a point where learners think directly in the target language, bypassing the need to translate from L1. However, constant reliance on L1 encourages mental translation, a habit that slows down speech production and comprehension, especially during spontaneous communication.

Example: A student who always mentally translates from Uzbek to English before speaking may speak haltingly, lose track of their ideas, or struggle with fluency.

Risk of Fossilization

When learners consistently transfer incorrect L1 rules into L2 and receive little feedback or correction, these errors may become fossilized—that is, they become fixed in the learner's interlanguage system. Fossilized errors are particularly difficult to unlearn because the learner no longer notices them as incorrect.

Example: An advanced learner might continue saying “I am agree” instead of “I agree” because this construction mirrors their L1 and has not been sufficiently challenged in context.

Reduced Communicative Confidence in L2

Learners who frequently fall back on L1 may lose confidence in their L2 communicative abilities. Instead of pushing through temporary struggles in the second language, they retreat into the comfort zone of L1. This avoidance behavior can result in slower L2 development and diminished willingness to communicate.

Example: In group projects, learners who use L1 to negotiate meaning instead of practicing in L2 might develop anxiety or reluctance to speak publicly in L2, especially in real-world contexts outside the classroom.

Identity Disconnection in L2

Finally, overattachment to L1 in L2 environments may hinder the formation of a second language identity. Language is not only a cognitive tool but also a medium of



cultural expression and self-representation. If learners do not experience L2 as part of their communicative identity, their language use may remain artificial or academic.

Example: A student who never explores personal or emotional expression in L2 may struggle to use it authentically, even after mastering grammar and vocabulary.

Conclusion of Section

Although L1 offers clear benefits in the early and strategic stages of second language learning, overreliance can hinder key processes such as immersion, fluency, spontaneous thinking, and identity development. It can also reinforce habits that are hard to unlearn later, such as mental translation and persistent interference errors.

For language educators, the challenge is not to eliminate L1 use entirely, but to monitor its role critically. By balancing supportive use with purposeful L2 immersion, teachers can maximize the advantages of L1 while minimizing its potential drawbacks.

Pedagogical Implications and Recommendations

The role of the first language (L1) in second language acquisition (SLA) presents both opportunities and challenges for educators. While the benefits and risks of L1 use are well documented, the central pedagogical question is not whether to use L1, but how, when, and to what extent. This section offers a practical framework for integrating L1 strategically in the classroom, along with recommendations for teachers, curriculum designers, and language policy makers.

Strategic Use, Not Total Avoidance

Rather than enforcing an “English only” policy or eliminating L1 altogether, teachers should adopt a strategic bilingual approach. This involves permitting L1 when it enhances learning—such as during complex grammar explanations, vocabulary clarification, or literacy development—while gradually increasing L2 exposure over time.

Practical tip: Use L1 during initial instruction or concept introduction, then transition to L2 for practice and application. For example, grammar rules may be explained in L1, followed by L2-based drills, activities, and assessments.



Scaffolding Through Translation and Comparison

Translation exercises and contrastive analysis can help learners develop metalinguistic awareness. By comparing grammatical structures, word order, or expressions across L1 and L2, students gain insight into both languages and build stronger mental models for communication.

Practical activity: Ask students to translate idioms, short paragraphs, or cultural proverbs into L2 and explain the meaning. Then compare these with equivalent L2 expressions and discuss similarities/differences.

Controlled Code-Switching and Translanguaging

In multilingual classrooms, controlled code-switching or translanguaging can be employed to facilitate peer discussions, clarify complex texts, or encourage creativity. Allowing short group discussions in L1 can increase participation, especially among lower proficiency learners.

Example technique: Let students brainstorm or plan a project in L1, then present or submit their final output in L2. This ensures deeper thinking without sacrificing target language practice.

Encouraging Think-Aloud and Reflection in L1

Encouraging learners to reflect in L1—through journaling, think-aloud activities, or post-task analysis—can deepen understanding of their learning process and highlight L2 progress. This is particularly useful for language learning strategies, self-assessment, and academic literacy development.

Classroom activity: After a writing assignment, have students write a short reflection in L1 about what they found difficult or interesting, then translate that reflection into L2 as a follow-up task.

Gradual L2 Immersion with Support Structures

For long-term L2 fluency, gradual immersion is essential. However, this must be accompanied by scaffolding techniques that avoid learner frustration. L1 can be part of these scaffolds, especially at beginner and lower-intermediate levels.



Suggested model: Start the course with 30–40% L1 support, reduce it progressively by mid-course, and aim for 80–90% L2 use by the final weeks—always keeping L1 available as a backup when needed.

Teacher Training and Attitude Shift

Many language teachers are trained to avoid L1 entirely, believing it contradicts communicative approaches. However, modern SLA research supports a more flexible and learner-centered philosophy. Teacher education programs should incorporate training on how to effectively balance L1 and L2 in class.

Recommendation:

Workshops, model lessons, and research-based guidelines should be provided to help teachers make informed decisions about L1 use, tailored to their students' needs, proficiency levels, and cultural contexts.

Inclusive Curriculum Design

Curricula should not ignore students' linguistic backgrounds. Instead, they should recognize multilingualism as an asset. Incorporating L1 in selected activities, assessments, or even classroom displays can promote identity affirmation and equity.

Curricular feature: Allow students to complete part of a research project in L1 (e.g., interviews, background research) and present findings in L2. This supports critical thinking and bilingual literacy.

Conclusion of Section

The pedagogical implications of L1 use in SLA are profound. L1 can enrich language learning when applied wisely, offering cognitive, emotional, and practical benefits. The ultimate goal is not to “depend” on the first language, but to use it as a temporary scaffold—a bridge toward higher L2 proficiency, deeper understanding, and more inclusive classrooms.

Educators should be empowered with the flexibility to decide how best to use L1 based on their context. A one-size-fits-all policy does not reflect the complexity of language learning. Instead, balanced bilingual pedagogy—grounded in evidence and empathy—should be the guiding principle.



Conclusion and Recommendations

The role of the first language (L1) in second language acquisition (SLA) is both complex and significant. Far from being a simple facilitator or hindrance, L1 acts as a dynamic variable—its impact shaped by how, when, and why it is used. This article has explored the dual nature of L1 in SLA, drawing from prominent theoretical models and pedagogical practices.

On the one hand, L1 provides critical support: it enhances comprehension, strengthens cognitive processing, scaffolds academic literacy, fosters emotional security, and validates linguistic identity. When used strategically, L1 can empower learners to build on existing knowledge and engage more confidently with the second language (L2).

On the other hand, unregulated or excessive use of L1 can create barriers to L2 fluency. It can reduce exposure to authentic L2 input, encourage overdependence, slow down the development of spontaneous communication, and lead to negative transfer or fossilized errors. Moreover, excessive reliance on L1 may inhibit the internalization of L2 thought processes and delay the formation of a second-language identity.

The challenge, therefore, is not whether to use L1, but how to use it with pedagogical intention. Modern SLA research supports a balanced bilingual approach—one that respects the value of L1 while maintaining a strong focus on meaningful L2 use. Teachers play a pivotal role in creating environments where L1 is used as a temporary scaffold and gradually phased out in favor of immersive, communicative L2 practices.

In a globalized and multilingual world, it is increasingly important to embrace linguistic diversity as a resource, not a problem. Educators, policymakers, and curriculum developers must reconsider rigid "L2-only" ideologies and instead promote inclusive, flexible, and evidence-based strategies that recognize the full linguistic repertoire of learners.

Ultimately, when appropriately managed, the first language can become a bridge—not a barrier—to second language mastery.

**REFERENCES:**

1. Krashen, S. D. (1985). *The input hypothesis: Issues and implications*. Longman.
<https://www.amazon.com/Input-Hypothesis-Issues-Implications/dp/0582553814>
2. Cummins, J. (2000). *Language, power and pedagogy: Bilingual children in the crossfire*. Multilingual Matters.
<https://www.amazon.com/Language-Power-Pedagogy-Bilingual-Bilingualism/dp/1853594733>
3. García, O., & Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education*. Palgrave Macmillan.
<https://www.amazon.com/Translanguaging-Language-Bilingualism-Education-Palgrave/dp/1137385758>
4. Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). *How languages are learned* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
<https://www.amazon.com/Languages-Learned-Handbooks-Language-Teachers/dp/0194541266>
5. Odlin, T. (1989). *Language transfer: Cross-linguistic influence in language learning*. Cambridge University Press.
<https://www.amazon.com/Language-Transfer-Cross-Linguistic-Influence-Linguistics/dp/0521378095>
6. Swain, M., & Lapkin, S. (2000). Task-based second language learning: The uses of the first language. *Language Teaching Research*, 4(3), 251–274.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/136216880000400304>
7. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
<https://www.hup.harvard.edu/catalog.php?isbn=9780674576292>
8. Turnbull, M., & Dailey-O'Cain, J. (Eds.). (2009). *First language use in second and foreign language learning*. Multilingual Matters.
<https://www.multilingual-matters.com/page/detail/First-Language-Use-in-Second-and-Foreign-Language-Learning/?k=9781847691958>



9. Ellis, R. (2008). *The study of second language acquisition* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
<https://www.amazon.com/Study-Second-Language-Acquisition/dp/0194422577>