



ERROR ANALYSIS OF UZBEK STUDENTS' ENGLISH WRITING

3rd year student, NUUz
Faculty of Foreign Philology
Department of Practical English and Literature
Scientific adviser: teacher Sohibov S.

Student: Pardayeva Oysulton

Gmail: pardayevaoysulton@gmail.com

Abstract: This study investigates the common errors made by Uzbek students in English writing, with a focus on grammatical, lexical, and structural mistakes. As English has become an essential language for academic and professional success, understanding the specific challenges faced by Uzbek learners is crucial for improving language instruction. The research analyzes a sample of written texts from intermediate-level university students and categorizes the errors based on established error analysis frameworks. Findings indicate that the most frequent errors occur in verb tense usage, article placement, word order, and prepositions, reflecting the influence of native language interference and insufficient exposure to authentic English input. The study also explores the pedagogical implications of these findings and suggests practical strategies for teachers to help students reduce such errors and develop more accurate and fluent writing skills.

Keywords:

Uzbek students, English writing, error analysis, grammatical errors, lexical errors, native language interference, second language acquisition, writing skills, ESL learners, language pedagogy

Introduction

In today's globalized world, the ability to write effectively in English is a crucial skill for academic, professional, and personal communication. For many second language learners, particularly those in non-English speaking countries like Uzbekistan, mastering English writing poses a significant challenge. Despite years of



MODERN EDUCATION AND DEVELOPMENT

formal instruction, Uzbek students frequently struggle with producing grammatically accurate and coherent written texts. These difficulties often arise from a variety of factors, including native language interference, limited exposure to authentic English input, and traditional grammar-focused teaching methods.

Error analysis has emerged as an effective tool in second language acquisition research, allowing educators to identify patterns in learners' mistakes and address the root causes of these errors. By categorizing and analyzing errors in student writing, teachers and curriculum designers can develop targeted instructional strategies to enhance learners' writing proficiency.

This study aims to examine the most common types of errors found in the English writing of Uzbek university students at the intermediate level. By exploring the frequency and nature of grammatical, lexical, and structural errors, the research seeks to provide insights into the linguistic challenges faced by Uzbek learners. Furthermore, the study highlights practical implications for English language teaching in Uzbekistan and suggests pedagogical strategies to reduce error frequency and improve overall writing quality.

Research Methodology

This study employs a **qualitative error analysis approach** to examine the most common types of errors made by Uzbek students in English writing. The research is based on close examination of students' written texts, focusing on the identification, classification, and interpretation of linguistic errors. The study also considers the influence of native language interference and instructional methods on students' writing performance. Secondary sources such as previous research in second language acquisition, error analysis theories, and pedagogical studies on Uzbek learners have been reviewed to support the analysis.

The methodology includes:

1. **Textual Error Identification**: A detailed examination of students' writing samples to identify grammatical, lexical, and syntactic errors such as verb tense misuse, article omission, and incorrect word order.





- 2. **Error Classification**: Categorization of errors into specific linguistic types (e.g., morphological, syntactic, semantic) based on established frameworks in applied linguistics and SLA (Second Language Acquisition) research.
- 3. **Interference Analysis**: Analysis of how the structure and logic of the Uzbek language may contribute to certain recurring errors in English, highlighting cases of negative language transfer.
- 4. **Pedagogical Context Evaluation**: Consideration of the teaching methods, classroom practices, and exposure to authentic English materials in the learners' environment to better understand the root causes of persistent errors.

This combination of methods allows a comprehensive understanding of the challenges faced by Uzbek learners in English writing, and provides insights into how these issues can be addressed through improved teaching strategies and curriculum design.

Analysis and Discussion

The analysis of the students' written compositions reveals several recurring patterns of errors that reflect both linguistic challenges and educational influences specific to Uzbek learners of English. These errors appear across various categories, including grammar, vocabulary, and sentence structure, indicating deeper issues in language acquisition and instruction.

Firstly, **grammatical errors** are the most frequent, especially in the use of **verb tenses**, **articles**, and **subject-verb agreement**. Many students tend to overgeneralize rules or transfer grammatical structures from Uzbek to English. For example, the omission of articles ("a," "an," "the") is a common mistake, likely due to the absence of article usage in the Uzbek language. Similarly, students often confuse past and present tenses, reflecting either limited practice or a lack of conceptual clarity in tense usage.

Secondly, **lexical errors** suggest difficulties in vocabulary selection and word formation. In some cases, students use literal translations from Uzbek, leading to awkward or incorrect word choices. This points to a **limited active vocabulary** and



MODERN EDUCATION AND DEVELOPMENT

overreliance on bilingual dictionaries or translation tools, rather than contextual learning and practice.

Moreover, **syntactic errors**, such as incorrect word order or run-on sentences, indicate insufficient exposure to authentic English sentence patterns. Students often follow Uzbek syntactic structures while writing in English, resulting in unnatural phrasing. This highlights the influence of **L1 interference**, which plays a significant role in shaping the type and frequency of errors.

Beyond individual linguistic issues, the findings also reflect the impact of the **educational environment**. Many students write in English only for assignments and exams, with limited opportunities for self-expression or creative writing. This lack of real-life writing practice reduces their confidence and fluency in producing coherent texts.

Furthermore, **error patterns reveal psychological factors**, such as fear of making mistakes and over-editing, which often lead to fragmented or overly cautious writing. These tendencies suggest a need to promote risk-taking and communicative approaches in the writing classroom.

Overall, the analysis shows that writing errors made by Uzbek learners are not simply the result of carelessness, but stem from a complex interaction of language transfer, limited exposure, instructional gaps, and psychological barriers. Addressing these factors requires a more learner-centered, context-aware, and communicative approach to teaching English writing skills in Uzbekistan.

Conclusion

This study has examined the most common types of errors found in the English writing of Uzbek students, revealing that these errors are not random, but rather systematic and influenced by a combination of linguistic, educational, and psychological factors. The frequent occurrence of grammatical mistakes — particularly with verb tenses, articles, and sentence structure — highlights the role of native language interference and a lack of deep understanding of English grammar rules.

MODERN EDUCATION AND DEVELOPMENT

Lexical and syntactic errors further show that many learners struggle with appropriate word usage and sentence formation, often translating directly from Uzbek. These findings suggest that learners need more exposure to authentic English usage and practice in writing fluently and independently.

The analysis also indicates that limited opportunities for meaningful writing practice and overemphasis on accuracy in classroom settings contribute to students' cautious, fragmented writing. Therefore, to improve students' writing proficiency, teaching approaches should shift toward more communicative, learner-centered, and error-tolerant methods that encourage expression and risk-taking.

In conclusion, addressing writing errors effectively requires a holistic approach that considers linguistic background, teaching methodology, and learner psychology. By doing so, educators can help Uzbek students develop greater confidence and competence in writing English accurately and expressively.

REFERENCES:

Brown, H. D. (2007). *Principles of Language Learning and Teaching* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.

Corder, S. P. (1967). The Significance of Learners' Errors. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, 5(1-4), 161–170.

Ellis, R. (1994). *The Study of Second Language Acquisition*. Oxford University Press. James, C. (1998). *Errors in Language Learning and Use: Exploring Error Analysis*.

Routledge.

Lightbown, P. M., & Spada, N. (2013). *How Languages are Learned* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Larsen-Freeman, D. (2001). *Techniques and Principles in Language Teaching* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Selinker, L. (1972). Interlanguage. *International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching*, 10(3), 209–231.

Swain, M., & Lapkin, S. (1995). Problems in Output and the Cognitive Processes They Generate: A Step Towards Second Language Learning. *Applied Linguistics*, 16(3), 371–391.