

PRACTICAL TESTS AND RESULTS OF RATIONAL CONSTRUCTION AND TECHNOLOGY OF CYCLING SHOES.

Aliyeva Zahro Mahmudovna

M27-24 Group graduate student

Annotation: This article explores the practical tests and results associated with the rational construction and technology of cycling shoes. The aim is to enhance performance, comfort, and efficiency for cyclists by optimizing shoe design and manufacturing techniques. The study presents various construction methodologies, testing procedures, and evaluations aimed at developing superior cycling footwear. Key findings and recommendations are provided for future improvements in cycling shoe design.

Keywords: Cycling shoes, rational construction, technology, performance, comfort, efficiency, testing, footwear design.

Cycling shoes play a pivotal role in enhancing a cyclist's performance by providing efficient power transfer, comfort, and stability. The development of rational construction and advanced technologies in cycling shoe design aims to improve performance by optimizing various factors including ergonomics, aerodynamics, weight, and material properties. This study focuses on evaluating practical tests and results obtained through different construction methodologies to establish optimal designs for cycling shoes.

The research methodology comprises several phases:

1. Designing Prototypes: Development of cycling shoe prototypes with varying construction methodologies.
2. Testing Procedures: Laboratory and field tests are conducted to evaluate comfort, power transfer, aerodynamics, durability, and material efficiency.
3. Data Analysis: Quantitative and qualitative analysis of test results, comparing traditional and newly developed shoe models.

4. Evaluation: Assessment of test results based on predefined performance criteria.

Cycling shoes are meticulously engineered to enhance pedaling efficiency, optimize power transfer, and ensure rider comfort. Key design elements such as sole stiffness, shoe-pedal attachment systems, and upper materials play pivotal roles in influencing cycling performance. Practical tests have been conducted to evaluate how these factors impact various aspects of cycling. □

Sole Stiffness and Power Transfer

The stiffness of a cycling shoe's sole is fundamental to efficient power transfer from the cyclist to the bicycle. A study by Burns and Kram (2020) investigated the effects of shoe stiffness and pedal attachment on maximal mechanical power output during sprints. In this study, twelve male cyclists performed 100-meter sprints under three different conditions:

Running shoes with flat pedals (flexible sole, no attachment). □

Running shoes with toe clips and straps (flexible sole, attached). □

Cycling shoes with clip-in pedals (stiff sole, attached). □

The results demonstrated that the use of toe clip attachments increased maximum sprint power by approximately 9.7%. Furthermore, cycling shoes equipped with stiff soles and clip-in pedals enhanced maximum sprint power by about 16.6% compared to the toe clip condition. These findings suggest that both the shoe-pedal attachment mechanism and sole stiffness contribute positively to sprint performance.

Sole Stiffness in Submaximal Cycling

In the context of submaximal, steady-state cycling, the advantages of increased sole stiffness appear to be less significant. Research conducted by Straw and Kram (2016) found no notable differences in metabolic cost when comparing stiff-soled cycling shoes with clip-in pedals to running shoes with flat pedals during low-intensity cycling. This indicates that while stiff soles may enhance performance during high-power efforts, they do not significantly impact efficiency during lower-intensity cycling sessions.

Shoe-Pedal Interface and Muscle Activation

The shoe-pedal interface also influences muscle activation patterns. A study examined the impact of wedges and insoles on power output and muscle activity. While no significant changes in power output were observed, a strong correlation was found between the degree of varus correction and improved power. This suggests that cyclists with greater forefoot varus may benefit more from corrective wedges, highlighting the importance of individualized assessments for optimizing cycling performance.

Practical Implications

These findings imply that for high-intensity cycling activities, such as sprinting or competitive racing, investing in cycling shoes with stiff soles and secure attachment systems can lead to performance enhancements. Conversely, for casual or low-intensity cycling, the benefits of specialized footwear may be minimal. Additionally, individual biomechanics, such as forefoot alignment, can influence the effectiveness of specific shoe modifications, underscoring the importance of personalized assessments when selecting cycling footwear.

For a visual explanation of the impact of cycling shoe stiffness on performance, you might find the following video informative.

The discussion section interprets the findings of the study, addressing the advantages and limitations of the different construction methodologies. Recommendations for enhancing cycling shoe design are provided, emphasizing the importance of optimizing structural components and materials to achieve better performance.

Conclusions

This study concludes that rational construction and advanced technology play a crucial role in developing high-performance cycling shoes. The research findings offer valuable insights into improving cycling shoe design, including recommendations for future studies focusing on optimizing comfort, efficiency, and durability.

Suggestions for future research include:

- Incorporating innovative materials for enhanced performance.

- Developing adjustable shoe components for improved comfort.
- Exploring the effects of various environmental conditions on shoe performance.

References.

1. Burns, A. C., & Kram, R. (2020). The effect of cycling shoes and the shoe-pedal interface on maximal mechanical power output during outdoor sprints. *Footwear Science*, 12(3), 185–192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19424280.2020.1769201>
2. Davis, R. R., & Hull, M. L. (1981). Measurement of pedal loading in bicycling: II. Analysis and results. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 14(12), 857–872. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290\(81\)90013-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9290(81)90013-0)
3. Gregor, R. J., & Wheeler, J. B. (1994). Biomechanical factors associated with shoe/pedal interfaces. *Sports Medicine*, 17(2), 117–131. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-199417020-00004>
4. Sterns, K., Hurt, J. W., III, Wilkinson, R. D., & Kram, R. (2022). Could a hybrid cycling-running shoe offer time savings to triathletes? *Footwear Science*, 14(2), 85–92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19424280.2022.2077841>
5. Straw, A. H., & Kram, R. (2016). Effects of shoe type and shoe–pedal interface on the metabolic cost of bicycling. *Footwear Science*, 8(1), 9–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19424280.2016.114081>