THE IMPORTANCE OF PRAGMATICS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING AND COMMUNICATION

Islombek Nazarov

2nd-year student of Faculty of Philology and Language Teaching at Kokand University, Andijan Branch

<u>islombeknazarov27@gmail.com</u>

Mavluda Imomnazarova

2nd-year student of Faculty of Philology and Language Teaching at Kokand University, Andijan Branch

imomnazarovamavluda055@gmail.com

Robiya Abdullayeva

2nd-year student of Faculty of Philology and Language Teaching at

Kokand University, Andijan Branch

robiyaabdullayeva0821@gmail.com

Abstract: This article explores the importance of pragmatics in English language teaching and communication, emphasizing how meaning in interaction depends not only on grammar and vocabulary but also on context, intention, and social norms. Pragmatics studies how people use language appropriately in real-life situations — understanding indirect requests, politeness, irony, or implied meaning.

In English language teaching (ELT), pragmatic competence is crucial for effective communication. Learners may know grammatical structures but still fail to convey the right message if unaware of pragmatic rules. For instance, saying "Close the window" versus "Could you close the window, please?" reflects different levels of politeness. This paper analyzes the role of pragmatics in developing communicative competence, reviews major theoretical frameworks, and discusses classroom strategies for teaching pragmatic awareness. The study concludes that incorporating pragmatic instruction in ELT fosters cultural understanding, social

appropriateness, and genuine communicative fluency among English learners.

Keywords: Pragmatics, communicative competence, English language teaching, speech acts, politeness theory, discourse analysis, context, intercultural communication, implicature, pragmalinguistics.

Introduction

Communication goes beyond the literal meaning of words. People often rely on shared knowledge, social norms, and context to understand what is truly meant. This area of study — pragmatics — investigates how speakers use and interpret language in real situations. For learners of English, mastering grammar and vocabulary is not enough; they must also learn how to use language appropriately in various contexts. In English language teaching, pragmatic competence plays a central role in developing communicative fluency. Learners who lack pragmatic awareness may sound rude, impolite, or confusing despite grammatical accuracy. For example, an English learner might say, "Give me water," without realizing that native speakers would typically say, "Could I have some water, please?" This paper explores how pragmatics contributes to effective communication, reviews theoretical perspectives, and provides practical strategies for integrating pragmatic instruction into English teaching. It emphasizes that pragmatic knowledge bridges linguistic proficiency and real-world communication.

Literature Review

The study of pragmatics emerged with the works of philosophers such as Austin (1962) and Searle (1969), who introduced speech act theory, explaining how utterances perform actions like requesting, promising, or apologizing. Grice (1975) developed the Cooperative Principle and conversational maxims that describe how meaning depends on shared expectations between speakers. Later, Brown and Levinson (1987) proposed politeness theory, highlighting how people manage social relationships through linguistic choices. In applied linguistics, scholars like Leech (1983), Thomas (1983), and Kasper (1997) emphasized the importance of pragmatic competence in second language acquisition. Recent research in ELT

(Taguchi, 2011; Ishihara & Cohen, 2014) shows that pragmatic awareness enhances learners' ability to interpret implied meaning and express politeness appropriately. This study builds upon these frameworks to analyze how teaching pragmatics improves communicative competence in English learners.

Main Body

Defining Pragmatics in Linguistics

Pragmatics is the study of meaning in context — how language is used in social interaction. It focuses on speaker intentions, listener interpretation, and situational factors that influence communication. For example, the phrase "It's cold here" could be a simple observation or an indirect request to close the window, depending on context. In English, pragmatic meaning often relies on implicature, presupposition, and speech acts. Understanding these elements helps learners go beyond literal meaning and interpret subtle social cues.

Speech Acts and Communicative Intentions

Speech act theory explains how people perform actions through language. Utterances like "Can you pass the salt?" or "I apologize" are not just sentences — they perform acts of requesting or apologizing. In English, the same act can be expressed in various ways depending on politeness, tone, and context. For instance:

- *Direct request:* "Open the door."
- *Indirect request:* "Could you open the door, please?"
- *Hint:* "It's quite warm in here."

Learners must understand these pragmatic variations to communicate effectively and politely. Misuse can lead to misunderstanding or perceived rudeness.

Politeness and Cultural Variation

Politeness is a key component of pragmatic competence. Brown and Levinson's (1987) theory distinguishes between positive politeness (showing friendliness) and negative politeness (showing respect for another's autonomy). In English, polite expressions such as "Would you mind...?", "Could I possibly...?", or "I was wondering if..." signal deference and courtesy. Cultural background influences how politeness is expressed. For example, Uzbek speakers might prefer

Ta'limning zamonaviy transformatsiyasi

indirectness in requests, while Americans tend to use direct but softened language. Teaching these cultural differences helps learners avoid pragmatic failure — misunderstanding due to inappropriate use of language norms.

Pragmatics in English Language Teaching (ELT)

Traditional English teaching often emphasizes grammar and vocabulary, but communication depends equally on pragmatic competence. Learners must know *how*, *when*, and *to whom* to say something appropriately.

Incorporating pragmatics into ELT involves activities such as:

- Role plays simulating real-life interactions.
- Discourse analysis of dialogues in films or authentic texts.
- Awareness-raising tasks comparing politeness strategies across cultures.

Teachers can also use explicit instruction (explaining pragmatic rules) and implicit learning (exposing learners to authentic use) to build pragmatic competence.

Studies show that students exposed to pragmatic-focused instruction demonstrate better understanding of conversational implicatures, turn-taking, and speech acts than those taught through traditional grammar-focused methods.

Pragmatic Failure and Communication Breakdown

Pragmatic failure occurs when a speaker's intended meaning does not match how it is interpreted by the listener. For example, a learner might say "You look fat today" intending to express concern, but it can sound insulting in English culture. Common causes of pragmatic failure include:

- Direct translation of expressions from the first language.
- Lack of awareness of politeness conventions.
- Misinterpretation of tone or irony.

Teachers must address these issues by providing feedback on the *appropriateness* of language use, not just grammatical accuracy.

Benefits of Teaching Pragmatics

Ta'limning zamonaviy transformatsiyasi

Integrating pragmatics into English instruction enhances learners' ability to:

- Interpret implied meanings.
- Use appropriate politeness strategies.
- Manage conversations naturally.
- Develop intercultural awareness.

Ultimately, pragmatic competence leads to communicative fluency — the ability to use English effectively and appropriately in social contexts.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative-descriptive approach, analyzing authentic English conversations from films, classroom discourse, and real-life dialogues to identify pragmatic features. Classroom observation and learner interviews were conducted to evaluate how students interpret and produce speech acts such as requests, apologies, and compliments.

Data were analyzed based on theories of speech acts (Searle, 1969), politeness (Brown & Levinson, 1987), and pragmatic instruction models (Taguchi, 2011). Comparative analysis between native and non-native speakers revealed common sources of misunderstanding and pragmatic failure.

The research emphasizes the importance of pragmatic-focused teaching techniques and suggests ways teachers can incorporate contextualized communication practice into English learning.

Results

The study found that learners exposed to pragmatic instruction developed higher awareness of cultural norms, politeness strategies, and indirect communication. They showed improvement in understanding implied meanings and using appropriate expressions in real-life interactions.

Results also indicate that pragmatic competence contributes more to communicative success than grammatical accuracy alone. Students who learned speech acts and cultural variations could express requests, refusals, and apologies naturally,

avoiding miscommunication.

Overall, teaching pragmatics in English classrooms enhances communicative

fluency, intercultural understanding, and social appropriateness — essential skills for global communication in academic, professional, and everyday contexts.

Conclusion

Pragmatics is an essential yet often overlooked aspect of English language teaching. It focuses on how language functions in real-life communication, helping learners interpret meaning beyond words. Understanding speech acts, politeness strategies, and cultural norms allows learners to interact appropriately and confidently in diverse situations.

The findings of this study demonstrate that pragmatic competence should be an integral part of communicative language teaching. Grammar and vocabulary are necessary, but without pragmatic awareness, communication may fail. Teachers must provide opportunities for authentic language use, reflection, and feedback on pragmatic behavior.

Incorporating pragmatics helps learners navigate cultural differences and express politeness, empathy, and intention effectively. It also promotes intercultural competence — the ability to understand and respect other communication styles. In conclusion, teaching pragmatics bridges the gap between linguistic form and social meaning. It prepares English learners not only to speak correctly but to communicate appropriately, fostering understanding and cooperation in our increasingly interconnected world.

References

- 1. Austin, J. L. (1962). *How to Do Things with Words*. Oxford University Press.
- 2. Searle, J. (1969). *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge University Press.
- 3. Grice, H. P. (1975). *Logic and Conversation*. Harvard University Press.
- 4. Brown, P., & Levinson, S. (1987). *Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage*. Cambridge University Press.
- 5. Leech, G. (1983). Principles of Pragmatics. Longman.
- 6. Thomas, J. (1983). *Cross-Cultural Pragmatic Failure*. Applied Linguistics.
- 7. Kasper, G. (1997). *Can Pragmatic Competence Be Taught?* University of Hawaii Press.
- 8. Taguchi, N. (2011). *Teaching Pragmatics in EFL Contexts*. TESOL Quarterly.
- 9. Ishihara, N., & Cohen, A. (2014). *Teaching and Learning Pragmatics*. Routledge.
- 10. Levinson, S. C. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge University Press.
- 11. Mey, J. L. (2001). Pragmatics: An Introduction. Blackwell.
- 12. Yule, G. (1996). *Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
- 13. Hymes, D. (1972). On Communicative Competence. Penguin.
- 14. Bachman, L. (1990). Fundamental Considerations in Language Testing. Oxford University Press.