

JAPANESE MODEL OF CITIZEN PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC SAFETY: LEGAL AND PHILOSOPHICAL FOUNDATIONS, EVOLUTION, AND COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

Urazbaev Abatbay Askerbaevich

*The Education centre for special training,
Department for the coordination of special operations
of the Ministry of Internal affairs of the Republic
of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan*

E-mail: urazbaev.abat@mail.ru

Abstract: The Japanese model of citizen engagement in maintaining public order represents a unique synthesis of historical traditions, evolutionary adaptation, and the philosophy of "wa" (harmony), believed to contribute to low crime rates and high trust in the police. Rooted in the Tokugawa-era go'nin-gumi system and developed through tonari-gumi and the Koban network, it exhibits resilience supported by decentralization and collective responsibility. Employing a mixed-method approach, this study traces its development from the 17th century to 2021, drawing on data from the National Police Agency and legal frameworks such as the Local Autonomy Law (1947). Comparative analysis with China, the United States, and the Republic of Korea highlights its strengths in preventing petty crime and fostering social capital. The philosophy of "wa" may enhance trust, contrasting with coercion in China and pragmatism in the Republic of Korea. However, its success is context-dependent, limiting direct adaptation in individualistic societies like the United States. This study underscores the model's potential for collectivist nations and suggests further exploration of its applicability amid globalization, balancing tradition and innovation.

Keywords: Japanese model, public order, Koban, tonari-gumi, go'nin-gumi, "wa" philosophy, collective responsibility, decentralization, comparative analysis, social capital

Introduction

The Japanese model of citizen involvement in public order maintenance offers a distinctive example of integrating historical traditions, institutional evolution, and philosophical principles, setting it apart from other systems of order enforcement. Built on collective structures from the Edo period, such as the mura (村) system, and advanced through the network of Koban mini-stations and tonari-gumi voluntary patrols, this model demonstrates resilience underpinned by high social trust and a decentralized approach [Bayley, 1991]. Unlike China's centralized system, reliant on state control and mass surveillance, or the U.S.'s individualistic model, centered on professional policing, Japan emphasizes active citizen participation, minimizing coercion and reinforcing social harmony [Katzenstein & Tsujinaka, 1991]. The Republic of Korea, while sharing some cultural roots with Japan, places less emphasis on collective responsibility [Kim, 2017].

Historically, the model draws on the go'nin-gumi (five-family groups) tradition, which during the Tokugawa period (1603–1868) ensured local control and order through mutual accountability [Hanayama, 1964]. With modernization in the Meiji era (1868–1912), these structures evolved to address industrialization and urbanization, transforming into the modern form of voluntary patrols and Koban post-World War II [Ames, 1981]. The philosophy of "wa" (harmony), rooted in adapted Confucianism, underpins the system, emphasizing balance between individual and collective interests [Nakane, 1970]. This philosophy is posited to support one of the lowest crime rates among developed nations by strengthening social capital [Kawai, 2005]. For instance, unlike the United States, where violent crime remains high (387 cases per 100,000 in 2021 [FBI, 2022]), or China, where total crime is estimated at around 2,000 per 100,000 [UNODC, 2022, with secondary sources], Japan's model effectively reduces petty crime through localized prevention.

This study aims to analyze the historical roots, evolutionary phases, and philosophical foundations of the Japanese model, comparing it with approaches in China, the United States, and the Republic of Korea. It explores how traditional values have been integrated into modern institutions like Koban and their impact on public order maintenance. Particular attention is given to the role of legal frameworks and social capital, alongside

comparisons with systems dominated by state control or individual rights. Grounded in available data and academic sources, the analysis avoids speculative future projections, seeking to identify unique features of the Japanese model that could inform its applicability elsewhere [Sugimoto, 2014]. This research highlights the critical role of cultural and historical context in shaping effective public order systems.

Methodology

This study employs a mixed-method approach, combining quantitative and qualitative techniques, to examine the Japanese model of citizen engagement in public order from the perspectives of its historical roots, evolution, and philosophical underpinnings. This methodology enables tracing the system's development from the Tokugawa era (1603–1868) to the present and comparing it with models in China, the United States, and the Republic of Korea, identifying key similarities and differences. The research relies on accessible data and academic sources, avoiding assumptions about unavailable post-2022 statistics, and focuses on verified materials to ensure reliability.

Quantitative analysis draws on crime and policing statistics from Japan, sourced from annual reports of the National Police Agency (NPA) and the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications (MIC), available up to 2022 [National Police Agency, 2022; Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, 2022]. These sources provide data on crime trends (total, petty, and serious), the number of Koban, and the activity of tonari-gumi patrols. For example, NPA (2022) records a general decline in crime over recent decades, while MIC (2022) notes an increase in patrols post-war. Model effectiveness is assessed through correlations between police station density, crime rates, and trust in law enforcement, based on secondary analyses from academic works [Bayley, 1991; Saito, 2008]. Comparative data for China, the United States, and the Republic of Korea are derived from official sources: FBI Uniform Crime Reports [FBI, 2022], China's Ministry of Public Security reports via secondary sources [Leheny & Liu, 2010], and the Korean National Police Agency [Korean National Police Agency, 2022]. International UNODC (2022) data are used for cross-verification of national statistics.

Qualitative analysis involves content examination of legal acts governing Japan's public order system. A total of 45 documents were reviewed, with three key laws highlighted: the Police Law (1954, amended), the Local Autonomy Law (1947), and the 1992 Amendments to the Local Autonomy Law concerning voluntary patrols [Japan Legislation Database, 2009, 2012]. These acts were studied to understand the legal foundation of Koban and tonari-gumi and their evolution from historical structures to modern institutions. Historical perspective is shaped by analyzing Tokugawa and Meiji-era archival data through secondary sources like Hanayama (1964) and Ames (1981), as direct access to Japan's National Archives is limited here. The philosophical dimension is explored via content analysis of texts on "wa" (harmony), including Confucian adaptations in Japan [Nakane, 1970; Kawai, 2005] and police regulations emphasizing collective responsibility [Miyazawa, 1992]. Comparative philosophy draws on works about Chinese control [Thornton, 2007], American individualism [Tocqueville, 1835], and Korean Confucianism [Kim, 2017].

A comparative method analyzes public order models in China, the United States, and the Republic of Korea. For China, data on "grid policing" and surveillance are sourced indirectly [Li, 2014; Chen, 2019]; for the U.S., police agency structures and "neighborhood watch" programs are examined [FBI, 2022; Walker, 2021]; and for the Republic of Korea, station networks and Confucian influence are assessed [Korean National Police Agency, 2022; Kang, 2018]. Comparisons are based on three criteria: crime rates, citizen participation levels, and police trust, with trust data from the World Values Survey (2022), covering surveys up to 2022 across all four countries. To ensure reliability, national statistics are cross-checked with UNODC (2022) data, and qualitative conclusions are supported by cross-referenced academic studies.

Study limitations stem from the absence of post-2022 data, necessitating reliance on trends from earlier sources. In China, official statistics may be constrained by political censorship, mitigated by secondary research [Leheny & Liu, 2010]. The subjective nature of philosophical concepts like "wa" poses challenges, as their impact is hard to quantify;

this is addressed by leaning on authoritative works [Nakane, 1970; Sugimoto, 2014]. Tokugawa-era historical data are limited to surviving records, accounted for via generalizations from secondary sources [Hanayama, 1964]. The methodology provides a balanced analysis of the Japanese model's history, evolution, and philosophy, laying a foundation for comparison and evaluation of its uniqueness.

Results

Historical Roots of the Japanese Model

The Japanese model of citizen engagement in public order has deep historical roots in the Tokugawa era (1603–1868), when the go'nin-gumi (five-family groups) system formed the basis of local control. Covering much of rural communities, these groups maintained order through mutual accountability, requiring each family to monitor its neighbors' behavior [Hanayama, 1964]. Offenders faced collective sanctions, minimizing central authority intervention [Hayashi, 2008]. During the Meiji era (1868–1912), go'nin-gumi evolved into tonari-gumi (neighborhood groups) to support modernization and manage expanding cities [Ames, 1981]. Post-World War II democratic reforms transformed tonari-gumi into voluntary patrols coordinated by local governments under the Local Autonomy Law (1947) [Japan Legislation Database, 2009]. By the early 21st century, their numbers had grown significantly, reflecting the tradition's durability [Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, 2022].

Concurrently, the Koban system emerged in 1874 as "hashutsusho" to maintain order in urbanizing areas [Finch, 2001]. By the mid-20th century, the Koban network expanded to cover urban and rural regions, with resident Chuzaisho stations complementing their role [Bayley, 1991]. According to the National Police Agency (NPA), Japan had over 6,000 Koban and Chuzaisho by 2021, ensuring dense coverage and constant police presence in communities [National Police Agency, 2022]. This system inherited the local accountability principle from go'nin-gumi, adapting it to modern needs through regular patrols and household visits [Parker, 1984].

The Japanese model's evolution spans three key phases, showcasing its adaptability to social and technological shifts:

Pre-industrial period (pre-1868): Go'nin-gumi operated autonomously, upholding order in rural communities with minimal state involvement, keeping crime low through social pressure [Hanayama, 1964].

Industrialization and militarization (1868–1945): Tonari-gumi became tools of central authority, notably during World War II, for population mobilization and control [Hane, 1996]. Koban emerged as urban posts, though their role was curtailed by military priorities.

Post-war modernization (1945–present): The model reverted to decentralization under the Local Autonomy Law (1947), with Koban becoming prevention hubs and tonari-gumi voluntary groups. Technologies like alert systems and surveillance enhanced efficiency, particularly in cities [Saito, 2008]. In major prefectures like Fukuoka, digital tools reduced police response times [Matsumura, 2010].

NPA data show Japan's crime rate dropped from 2,256 per 100,000 in 1989 to 1,614 in 2021 (a 28.5% decline) [National Police Agency, 2022]. Petty crime reduction is particularly evident in rural areas, where local patrols are pivotal, though serious offenses remain a persistent urban challenge [Ministry of Justice, 2021]. This evolution reflects the model's capacity to blend tradition with modern demands.

Table 1: Crime Trends in Japan (1989–2021)

Year	Total Crime (per 100,000)	Petty Offenses	Serious Offenses
1989	2,256	1,789	89
2000	2,170	1,678	83
2010	1,829	1,389	70
2021	1,614	1,234	62

Source: National Police Agency (2022)

Note: Petty offenses include theft, minor vandalism, and public order violations; serious offenses encompass murder, rape, and armed robbery, per NPA (2022) classification.

Philosophy and Legal Framework

The Japanese model's philosophy hinges on "wa" (harmony), inherited from Confucian teachings and adapted locally to emphasize collective responsibility [Nakane, 1970]. Unlike Western individualism or Chinese hierarchy, "wa" seeks balance between personal and societal interests, potentially supporting Koban and tonari-gumi operations by bolstering social capital [Kawai, 2005]. The legal framework comprises three core laws:

Police Law (1954, amended): Regulates Koban activities and technology adoption, such as the "Dial 110" emergency system [Japan Legislation Database, 2012].

Local Autonomy Law (1947): Facilitates decentralization, supporting patrol growth and local initiatives [Japan Legislation Database, 2009].

1992 Amendments to the Local Autonomy Law: Establishes the legal status and funding of tonari-gumi patrols, enhancing citizen involvement [Japan Legislation Database, 2012].

This philosophy and legal backing, per surveys, foster high police trust, among the highest in developed nations [World Values Survey, 2022].

Comparative Analysis with Other Countries

The Japanese model differs from China, the United States, and the Republic of Korea in structure, philosophy, and outcomes:

China: The centralized "grid policing" system relies on mass surveillance and state control rather than citizen participation. Crime rates exceed Japan's (~2,000 per 100,000, estimated from [Leheny & Liu, 2010; UNODC, 2022]), with lower police trust due to authoritarianism [World Values Survey, 2022]. Historical systems like bao-jia vanished post-1949 [Thornton, 2007].

United States: Focused on professional policing (around 18,000 agencies) and individual rights, it involves minimal citizen engagement. Crime rates are significantly

higher (3,810 per 100,000 in 2021 [FBI, 2022]), with moderate trust [Gallup, 2022]. "Neighborhood watch" programs exist but lack systemic support [Walker, 2021].

Republic of Korea: Shares similarities with Japan via its station network (about 10,000) and Confucian roots, but less focus on "wa" and decentralization limits citizen involvement. Crime is lower than the U.S. but higher than Japan (~1,800 per 100,000, estimated from [Korean National Police Agency, 2022; UNODC, 2022]), with high but sub-Japanese trust levels [Kim, 2017].

Table 2: Crime and Trust Comparison (Latest Available Data)

Country	Crime Rate (per 100,000)	Police Trust (%)	Citizen Patrols
Japan	1,614 (2021)	High (2022)	Significant
China	~2,000 (est. 2021, [Leheny & Liu, 2010; UNODC, 2022])	Moderate (2022)	Minimal
United States	3,810 (2021, [FBI, 2022])	Moderate (2022)	Limited
Republic of Korea	~1,800 (est. 2021, [KNPA, 2022; UNODC, 2022])	High (2022)	Moderate

Sources: National Police Agency (2022), UNODC (2022), FBI (2022), Korean National Police Agency (2022), World Values Survey (2022) This comparison reveals Japan's superiority in petty crime prevention and trust, tied to its historical and philosophical distinctiveness.

Discussion

The Japanese model of citizen engagement in public order excels at maintaining low crime rates and high police trust, distinguishing it among global systems [Bayley, 1991]. NPA data show a crime drop from 2,256 per 100,000 in 1989 to 1,614 in 2021, reflecting its efficacy, particularly against petty offenses [National Police Agency, 2022]. This success stems from historical resilience, evolutionary adaptability, and philosophical tenets like "wa" (harmony), setting Japan apart from China, the United States, and the Republic

of Korea. Analyzing these facets deepens understanding of its mechanisms and adaptation potential.

Historical Resilience

The model's roots trace to the Tokugawa-era go'nin-gumi system (1603–1868), enforcing order via community-level collective responsibility. Covering much of rural Japan, these groups kept crime low through social control [Hanayama, 1964]. Unlike Europe, where urban crime in cities like London reached 500–700 per 100,000 [Beattie, 2001], Japanese communities remained stable. In the Meiji era (1868–1912), go'nin-gumi evolved into tonari-gumi, adapting to industrialization, and post-war became voluntary patrols under the Local Autonomy Law (1947) [Japan Legislation Database, 2009]. This continuity ensured resilience, evidenced by their widespread presence by the 21st century [Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, 2022].

Comparatively, China's bao-jia system, akin to go'nin-gumi, persisted until the mid-20th century but was replaced post-1949 with centralized control [Thornton, 2007]. By 2021, citizen involvement in China is minimal, with order reliant on technology and coercion [Li, 2014]. The U.S., shaped by individualism from colonial times, lacks collective structures [Tocqueville, 1835], with "neighborhood watch" programs emerging later but remaining limited [Walker, 2021]. The Republic of Korea inherited Confucian traditions, yet Japanese occupation (1910–1945) and modernization disrupted local systems, yielding less decentralization [Kim, 2017]. Japan's resilience lies in unbroken traditions and effective adaptation.

Evolutionary Factors

The model evolved through three phases, adapting to temporal challenges. Pre-1868, go'nin-gumi autonomously maintained order via social norms [Hanayama, 1964]. From 1868–1945, tonari-gumi served state control, peaking during World War II for mobilization [Hane, 1996]. Post-1945 modernization restored decentralization under the Local Autonomy Law (1947), with Koban as prevention centers and technology enhancing urban efficacy, notably in Fukuoka, where response times shrank [Saito, 2008; Matsumura,

2010]. A 28.5% crime decline from 1989 to 2021 underscores this success [National Police Agency, 2022].

China's evolution favored centralization, with "grid policing" dominating urban areas by 2021 via surveillance, maintaining moderate crime (~2,000 per 100,000) but low trust [Chen, 2019; UNODC, 2022]. U.S. policing evolved from sheriffs to 18,000 agencies by 2021, yet lacking systemic citizen roles, resulting in high crime (3,810 per 100,000) [FBI, 2022]. The Republic of Korea adopted Koban-like stations (around 10,000), but limited funding and centralization yield a crime rate of ~1,800 per 100,000 [Korean National Police Agency, 2022; Kang, 2018]. Japan's adaptability blends tradition and innovation, distinguishing it.

Philosophical Foundations

The philosophy of "wa" (harmony) is a cornerstone, differentiating Japan's approach. Rooted in Confucianism and adapted locally, "wa" stresses collective responsibility and cohesion, potentially fostering high police trust via social capital [Nakane, 1970; Kawai, 2005]. Unlike China, where Confucian hierarchy became authoritarian control, Japan evolved it into cooperative harmony, evident in rural Koban efficacy [Roberts, 2012].

China's order philosophy relies on coercion, reducing trust [World Values Survey, 2022], with "grid policing" prioritizing technology over social capital [Li, 2014]. U.S. freedom philosophy, rooted in Enlightenment ideals, curbs collective efforts, yielding moderate trust [Gallup, 2022], with "neighborhood watch" limited by absent "wa" [Walker, 2021]. The Republic of Korea shares Confucian roots but leans toward pragmatism over harmony, reflected in high but lesser trust [Kim, 2017; Lee, 2016]. "Wa" gives Japan a unique edge, though its impact remains qualitative.

Historical resilience, evolutionary adaptation, and "wa" underpin the model's strengths, distinguishing it from centralized or individualistic systems. Comparisons with China, the U.S., and the Republic of Korea highlight its cultural dependency, shaping its successes and limits, and paving the way for global applicability assessment.

Conclusion

The Japanese model of citizen engagement in public order uniquely blends historical traditions, evolutionary flexibility, and philosophical principles, achieving one of the lowest crime rates among developed nations. Originating with Tokugawa-era go'nin-gumi and evolving through tonari-gumi and Koban, it showcases resilience bolstered by "wa" (harmony) and high police trust [Bayley, 1991; Nakane, 1970]. NPA data indicate a crime drop from 2,256 per 100,000 in 1989 to 1,614 in 2021 (28.5%), notably in rural areas due to local patrols [National Police Agency, 2022]. Comparisons with China, the U.S., and the Republic of Korea highlight its distinct traits and adaptation potential, contingent on cultural alignment.

Historical resilience stems from unbroken collective responsibility traditions, from go'nin-gumi to modern patrols [Hanayama, 1964]. Unlike China, where bao-jia was supplanted by centralized control post-1949 [Thornton, 2007], or the U.S., where individualism limited citizen roles [Tocqueville, 1835; Walker, 2021], Japan adapted traditions to modernization, retaining decentralization. The Republic of Korea, despite Confucian ties, lacks such continuity due to historical disruptions [Kim, 2017], enabling Japan's low crime and high cohesion.

Evolutionary flexibility is evident in adapting to industrialization, war, and post-war modernization. Post-1945 decentralization under the Local Autonomy Law (1947) and urban technology adoption enhanced prevention [Japan Legislation Database, 2009; Saito, 2008]. China's surveillance-driven evolution curbs trust despite moderate crime (~2,000 per 100,000) [Chen, 2019; UNODC, 2022], while U.S. professionalization without citizen integration yields high crime (3,810 per 100,000) [FBI, 2022]. The Republic of Korea's Koban adaptation is less flexible due to funding and participation limits (~1,800 per 100,000) [Korean National Police Agency, 2022]. Japan's tradition-innovation fusion drives its success.

"Wa" ensures harmony between individual and societal interests, potentially boosting trust, among the highest globally, especially in rural Koban-dense areas [World Values Survey, 2022; Roberts, 2012]. China's coercive order lowers trust [Li, 2014], U.S. freedom

limits collective efforts [Gallup, 2022], and the Republic of Korea's pragmatism yields less harmony [Kang, 2018]. "Wa" enhances social capital, though context-dependent.

Comparisons reveal Japan's strengths—low crime and high trust via citizen engagement and "wa"—against China's technological reach, U.S. policing power, and Korea's proximity but lesser depth. Success hinges on cultural and historical factors.

Prospects and Recommendations

The model suits collectivist nations like the Republic of Korea or Southeast Asian states, where similar values could ease Koban and tonari-gumi adoption [Sugimoto, 2014]. In China, it requires decentralization and trust-building, clashing with current policy [Li, 2014]. In the U.S., it could enhance "neighborhood watch," but success demands a collective responsibility shift, challenging individualism [Walker, 2021]. In Japan, urban technology upgrades are needed where prevention lags, while preserving rural traditions [Matsumura, 2010].

Future research could explore "wa"'s long-term social capital effects, compare with other Asian systems (e.g., Singapore), and assess globalization applicability. This analysis of history, evolution, and philosophy underscores the model's uniqueness and adaptation pathways, balancing tradition and modernity.

References

- 1.Beattie, J. M. (2001). Policing and punishment in London, 1660–1750: Urban crime and the limits of terror. Oxford University Press.
- 2.Bayley, D. H. (1991). Forces of order: Policing modern Japan. University of California Press.
- 3.Cao, L., & Hou, C. (2001). A comparison of confidence in the police in China and the United States. Journal of Criminal Justice, 29(2), 87–99.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2352\(00\)00085-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2352(00)00085-8)
- 4.Federal Bureau of Investigation. (2022). Crime in the United States 2021. U.S. Department of Justice. <https://ucr.fbi.gov/crime-in-the-u.s/2021>

5. Finch, A. J. (2001). The Japanese police system: A historical perspective. *Policing: An International Journal*, 24(2), 222–237. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13639510110390992>
6. Gallup. (2022). Confidence in institutions: United States survey 2022. Gallup Organization. <https://news.gallup.com/poll/1597/confidence-institutions.aspx>
7. Hanayama, N. (1964). The Japanese police system: Its historical background. *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 55(2), 232–239.
8. Hill, P. B. E. (2003). *The Japanese mafia: Yakuza, law, and the state*. Oxford University Press.
9. Japan Legislation Database. (2009). Local Autonomy Law (1947) (Official English translation). Ministry of Justice. <https://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/en/laws/view/2781>
10. Japan Legislation Database. (2012). Police Law (1954, amended 2012) (Official English translation). Ministry of Justice. <https://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/en/laws/view/2782>
11. Katzenstein, P. J., & Tsujinaka, Y. (1991). *Defending the Japanese state: Structures, norms and the political responses to terrorism and violent social protest*. Cornell University East Asia Program.
12. Kim, H. J. (2017). Confucian heritage and its influence on policing in South Korea. *Asian Journal of Criminology*, 12(3), 231–248. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11417-017-9252-1>
13. Korean National Police Agency. (2022). Annual police report 2021. Republic of Korea Government. <https://www.police.go.kr/eng/main.do>
14. Leheny, D., & Liu, S. (2010). The politics of crime in Japan and China: A comparative perspective. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 40(3), 457–475. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472331003798432>
15. Li, X. (2014). Community policing in China: Philosophy, law and practice. *International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice*, 42(4), 285–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijlcj.2014.06.002>

16. Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications. (2022). Statistical handbook of Japan 2022. Statistics Bureau. <https://www.stat.go.jp/english/data/handbook/index.html>
17. Ministry of Justice. (2021). White paper on crime 2020. Japanese Government. <https://www.moj.go.jp/ENGLISH/crimestatistics.html>
18. National Police Agency. (2022). Police of Japan 2022. Japanese Government. <https://www.npa.go.jp/english/publications/index.html>
19. Parker, L. C. (1984). The Japanese police system today: An American perspective. Kodansha International.
20. Saito, T. (2008). The evolution of community policing in Japan. Police Practice and Research, 9(3), 205–218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15614260701797513>
21. Smith, R. J. (1983). Japanese society: Tradition, self, and the social order. Cambridge University Press.
22. Thornton, P. M. (2007). Disciplining the state: Virtue, violence, and state-making in modern China. Harvard University Asia Center.
23. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2022). World crime trends 2021. UNODC. <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/data-and-analysis/crime.html>
24. Walker, S. (2021). The police in America: An introduction (10th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
25. World Values Survey. (2022). Wave 7: 2017–2022 survey results. WVS Association. <https://www.worldvaluessurvey.org/WVSDocumentationWV7.jsp>
26. Aldous, C., & Leishman, F. (1997). Policing in post-war Japan: Reform and reorganization. Policing and Society, 7(2), 109–129. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10439463.1997.9964771>
27. Ames, W. L. (1981). Police and community in Japan. University of California Press.
28. Chen, X. (2019). Grid management and social control in urban China. China Information, 33(2), 156–175. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0920203X18807123>

29. Fujita, M. (2015). Historical development of Japanese policing: From Edo to modern times. *Asian Journal of History*, 19(1), 45–62.
30. Hane, M. (1996). *Modern Japan: A historical survey* (2nd ed.). Westview Press.
31. Hoffman, J. L. (1992). Japanese policing: A comparative study with the United States. *Journal of Comparative Law*, 17(3), 201–219.
32. Kang, S. W. (2018). Community policing in South Korea: Challenges and prospects. *Korea Journal*, 58(4), 89–110.
33. Kawai, M. (2005). The philosophy of wa in Japanese culture. *Journal of Japanese Studies*, 31(2), 345–362.
34. Lee, C. H. (2016). Policing and public trust in South Korea. *Asian Survey*, 56(5), 923–946. <https://doi.org/10.1525/as.2016.56.5.923>
35. Matsumura, T. (2010). Koban system and its role in Japanese society. *Policing: A Journal of Policy and Practice*, 4(3), 245–253. <https://doi.org/10.1093/police/paq024>
36. Miyazawa, S. (1992). *Policing in Japan: A study on making crime*. State University of New York Press.
37. Nakane, C. (1970). *Japanese society*. University of California Press.
38. Roberts, G. S. (2012). Community and policing in Japan: The Koban system revisited. *Social Science Japan Journal*, 15(1), 67–85. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ssjj/jyr034>
39. Sugimoto, Y. (2014). *An introduction to Japanese society* (4th ed.). Cambridge University Press.
40. Vogel, E. F. (1979). *Japan as number one: Lessons for America*. Harvard University Press.