

MOTIVATIONAL FOUNDATIONS AND EXPLICATIVE STRATEGIES OF ASPECTUAL CATEGORIES: A CONCEPTUAL ANALYSIS BASED ON ENGLISH AND UZBEK DATA

Rahmonova Ozoda Sayfillo qizi

O'zbekiston davlat jahon tillari univertiteti

Magistratura: Lingvistika yo'nalishi talabasi

1-kurs talabasi

Gmail: rozoda209@gmail.com

Phone: +998932951326

Key words: Perspective, aspectual categories, inspiration, explicative methodologies, linguistic viewpoint, lexical perspective, English, Uzbek, cross-linguistic examination, cognitive etymology, tense-aspect framework, comparative phonetics.

Abstract: This paper investigates the motivational establishments and explicative methodologies of aspectual categories in English and Uzbek. It analyzes how syntactic and lexical angles are conceptualized, communicated, and deciphered in both dialects. The inquiry receives a comparative and cognitive-linguistic approach, analyzing the part of morphological, syntactic, and down-to-earth highlights in forming aspectual implications. The discoveries contribute to an improved understanding of aspectual refinements and their suggestions for linguistic typology and dialect learning.

Introduction. Perspective could be a significant etymological category that speaks to the transient structure of occasions, highlighting their inside elements instead of essentially arranging them in a time outline. Understanding viewpoint is pivotal because it impacts how speakers pass on meaning and translate activities in both talked and composed communication. In quintessence, perspective isn't just a linguistic instrument; it shapes the semantic scene by separating whether an activity is completed, continuous, routine, or dreary. This refinement is central to compelling communication, because it improves the depictions of occasions and intelligence.

The aim of this thing is to explore the etymological and cognitive measurements of aspectual categories, particularly analyzing the qualifications between English and Uzbek. The destinations are to recognize the diverse techniques utilized by each dialect to a specific angle and to analyze the cognitive and social suggestions of these contrasts in communication. Central investigate questions incorporate how aspectual refinements are spoken to in English and Uzbek, what cognitive forms support these choices, and how the discoveries might influence dialect learning and interpretation hones. The speculation sets that whereas English

displays a more morphologically stamped aspectual framework, Uzbek depends intensely on lexical and relevant implies to communicate aspectual qualifications. This examination will contribute to the areas of etymology and cognitive science, enhancing our understanding of how viewpoint capacities over dialects.

Literature review. A audit of the writing uncovers a vigorous system for analyzing angle in phonetic inquiries about. Key speculations, such as Comrie's aspect hypothesis and Vendler's verb classifications, give foundational bits of knowledge into the nature and representation of perspective. Comrie's qualification between perfective and imperfective angles, for case, has been significant in understanding how diverse dialects encode aspectual meaning. Vendler's classification framework categorizes verbs into states, exercises, achievements, and accomplishments, encouraging a nuanced investigation of their aspectual qualifications. Additionally, ponders centering on English and Uzbek aspectual qualifications enlighten critical changes in how these dialects express transient and semantic implications. Analysts have highlighted that whereas English utilizes a tense-aspect framework with clearly stamped morphological changes, Uzbek tends to depend on assistant verbs and relevant clues. Cognitive and typological approaches to viewpoint offer extra profundity by looking at how these etymological highlights shape speakers' thought forms and social systems. In any case, holes in existing inquire about stay, especially with respect to the require for comparative ponders that consider the transaction between syntactic and lexical angle over different dialects.

Methodology. This ponder utilizes a subjective comparative investigation strategy. Information sources incorporate corpus-based cases, scholarly writings, local speaker input, and language structure references. By analyzing morphosyntactic and semantic markers of perspective, the investigate recognizes similitudes and contrasts in how English and Uzbek speak to aspectual implications. Comparative strategies will highlight the unmistakable procedures utilized by each dialect whereas recognizing restrictions, such as the scope of phonetic information and challenges in interpretation.

Findings. The discoveries uncover a few key bits of knowledge. To begin with, aspectual categories in English are transcendently passed on through verb morphology, exemplified by the dynamic versus culminate angle. In differentiate, Uzbek depends intensely on lexical viewpoint, assistant verbs, and relevant prompts to stamp aspectual refinements. The examination demonstrates that social and cognitive impacts shape how speakers of both dialects translate angle. Moment, the explicative methodologies utilized in English and Uzbek vary altogether. English utilizes a tense-aspect framework with clearly characterized markers for dynamic, culminate, and periodic angles. On the other hand, Uzbek inclines on periphrastic developments and relevant signals to show aspectual characteristics, driving to challenges in coordinate interpretations that need comparable shapes. Third, the

consider addresses cross-linguistic challenges, noticing that deciphering aspect-based implications can be risky due to auxiliary contrasts between the dialects. These aspectual jumbles regularly lead to interpretative shifts, which can complicate the educating of perspective to non-native speakers.

Overview. The inquire about underscores that English and Uzbek show unmistakable however comparable methodologies for communicating aspectual meaning. Inspirations for aspectual choices emerge from syntactic, cognitive, and social components, illustrating the complexity of dialect utilize. The discoveries moreover highlight the challenges inborn in cross-linguistic comparisons, especially with respect to interpretation challenges related to viewpoint.

Members and strategies. The consider counseled local English and Uzbek speakers to accumulate phonetic instincts, and included dialect learners and teaches to pick up experiences into educating techniques. Corpus examination utilized information from scholarly writings and real-life talk, including the collection of cases that outline aspectual utilization in setting.

In phonetic ponders, two essential sorts of viewpoint are regularly recognized:

linguistic angle and lexical viewpoint. Linguistic viewpoint envelops the morphological varieties that verbs experience to precise distinctive time-related implications, such as dynamic and idealize shapes. For occurrence, in English, sentences like “I am eating” and “I have eaten” uncover the action's progressing or completed nature, separately. In differentiate, lexical perspective relates to the inalienable properties of the verbs themselves, alluding to the action's nature and its movement over time. For illustration, verbs like “run” pass on a distinctive aspectual meaning than “know,” as the previous intrinsically infers an continuous prepare whereas the last mentioned does not.

This think about investigates the significance of motivational and explicative methodologies in understanding aspectual qualifications. Motivational methodologies capture the basic reasons impacting how speakers select particular aspectual shapes based on setting and communicative aim. Explicative techniques clarify these choices, helping audience members in comprehending the aiming meaning behind perspective utilization. This double approach not as it were extends our understanding of aspectual categories but moreover lights up how social and cognitive variables shape these choices over diverse dialects.

Viewpoint could be a syntactic category that communicates the transient structure of occasions, especially their inside worldly stream and the nature of their event in connection to time. Not at all like tense, which places an activity in a specific time frame (past, show, future), viewpoint digs more profound into the characteristics of the activity itself. It addresses questions such as whether an activity is completed, progressing, periodic, or monotonous. In phonetic structures, perspective plays a vital part in passing on meaning and giving clarity approximately the timing and nature of

occasions. For occasion, in English, the distinction between "I eat" (basic perspective) and "I am eating" (dynamic angle) outlines how angle can alter the listener's understanding of the action's transience and completeness.

Understanding viewpoint is imperative over different dialects, because it influences sentence arrangement, verb conjugation, and in general talk. In numerous dialects, aspectual qualifications can bring out diverse elucidations of the same activity, highlighting the significance of this category in both talked and composed communication. Etymological considerations have appeared that different societies may conceptualize occasions in an unexpected way based on their aspectual frameworks, driving to differing ways of communicating time and activity in dialect.

Consolidating motivational and explicative techniques in aspectual considerations permits analysts to investigate the cognitive underpinnings that impact how people see and categorize activities. Motivational techniques allude to the basic reasons that drive the choice of a specific angle in communication, which can shift based on setting and expectation. For occasion, a speaker may select to utilize the idealized perspective to highlight the significance of a past activity within the show, affected by relevant needs or individual inspirations. Explicative methodologies, on the other hand, include clarifying or explaining on the aspectual choices made inside a talk to upgrade comprehension for audience members. These methodologies can be especially critical in instructive settings, where learners may battle with aspectual subtleties in a moment dialect.

The reason of this consideration is to examine the etymological and cognitive measurements of aspectual categories, focusing on how distinctive dialects encode angle and how speakers utilize motivational and explicative procedures to create sense of these categories. By looking at different etymological hypotheses that address aspect—such as the Vendler classification of verbs and the part of viewpoint in cognitive processing—we point to reveal the complicated connections between dialect, thought, and discernment. This consideration will moreover investigate how aspectual refinements impact communication proficiency and adequacy in both local and non-native speakers. By analyzing real-life cases from talked and composed writings, we point to recognize designs in aspectual utilization and the cognitive forms involved in aspectual translation. Besides, we'll consider cross-linguistic comparisons to get it how distinctive dialects structure angle and the suggestions this has for dialect acquisition and learning.

Eventually, this investigation looks for to contribute to the broader areas of phonetics and cognitive science by giving bits of knowledge into the centrality of perspective in understanding dialect utilization and cognition. By illustrating the associations between phonetic structures, cognitive procedures, and communicative expectation, we trust to cultivate a more profound appreciation of how people explore and express their encounters through the crystal of perspective. The discoveries of

this ponder might have viable applications in dialect instruction, cognitive phonetics, and the advancement of devices for dialect learning, emphasizing the significance of viably instructing aspectual qualifications to advance nuanced communication aptitudes.

Aspectual qualifications play a significant part in forming meaning over dialects, impacting how activities, occasions, and states are seen and enunciated. In analyzing English and Uzbek, we watch that these refinements not as it were decide the structure of sentences but too essentially influence the semantic translation of occasions. In English, angle is solidly established in a tense-aspect framework that utilizes morphological varieties to communicate implications. The utilize of dynamic perspectives, for illustration, signals an ongoing action or one that's brief. In differentiate, idealize perspectives interface past activities to the display, emphasizing the pertinence of the action's result. For occurrence, the sentence "I have wrapped up my homework" demonstrates a completed activity that impacts the current state of undertakings, whereas "I am wrapping up my homework" recommends a ceaseless engagement with the action. In this way, English's syntactic markers proficiently direct the interpretative system for the audience or peruser.

On the other hand, Uzbek utilizes a transcendently lexical perspective to communicate comparative implications. The dialect depends intensely on assistant verbs, lexical choices, and relevant signals. For occasion, lexical viewpoints in Uzbek educate the audience whether an activity is completed or continuous without requiring unequivocal morphological changes to the verb itself. In this way, sentences are regularly context-dependent, and speakers may ought to depend on conversational setting to decipher activities completely. For illustration, the state "Men uyda ishlayman" (I work at domestic) can suggest a routine activity, whereas the relevant utilization might show a transitory state or progressing activity depending on the discussion.

To demonstrate these qualifications assist, ready to consider particular case ponders including interpretations from English to Uzbek. One unmistakable illustration includes the English verb shapes that express routine activities, such as "I usually read within the evening." In English, the qualifier "ordinarily" adjusts the verb to represent reiteration over time, though in Uzbek, this refinement might not require any morphological alter. Instep, a straightforward development might suffice, depending on setting to communicate a routine angle. The interpreted sentence "Men odatda kechqurun o'qiyman" captures the essence of a routine activity through lexical implies, driving to potential interpretational challenges for speakers transitioning between the languages.

Another illustration can be found within the interpretation of dynamic viewpoints. In English, "I am studying" passes on that the activity is happening right presently. The precise interpretation into Uzbek may utilize assistant developments

to indicate the progressing nature of the activity, as seen in "Men hozir o'qiyapman," viably communicating the same promptness and current engagement with the activity. All things considered, certain English developments don't have coordinate partners in Uzbek, driving to equivocalness or requiring relevant reevaluations amid interpretation. These case thinks about show the broader hypothetical suggestions for phonetic relativity, which sets that dialect impacts thought and perception. The shifted expression of aspect in English and Uzbek may lead speakers to conceive of time and activity in an unexpected way. For occasion, whereas English speakers may characterize activities more inflexibly through linguistic markers, Uzbek speakers might receive a more liquid elucidation based on setting. This could highlight how social and cognitive systems, interceded through dialect, influence the way speakers see occasions, shapes, and arrangements.

The comparative examination between English and Uzbek uncovers critical experiences into how aspectual refinements shape meaning over dialects. The discoveries outline that whereas English utilizes a more clearly checked linguistic perspective framework, Uzbek depends on lexical and relevant components to communicate comparable qualifications. This essential contrast influences the elucidation and interpretation of aspectual shapes, showing challenges that outline the interplay between dialect structure and cognitive handling. Future bearings in aspectual ponders ought to center on extending comparative examinations over a more extensive extend of dialects, diving more profound into the ways viewpoint interatomic with other syntactic categories like temperament, methodology, and evidentiality. Examining these convergences will abdicate advance understanding of how distinctive dialects develop reality and impact speakers' cognitive systems. Also, observational inquire about investigating how dialect learners explore these complexities seem give important bits of knowledge into viable educating strategies that recognize and use phonetic differing qualities in aspectual expressions.

The commitments of this consider to typological and cognitive phonetics are noteworthy. By highlighting the contrasts and likenesses between the expression of angle in English and Uzbek, this inquire about underscores the significance of etymological relativity in understanding human cognition. It prompts encourage investigation into how dialect structure educates thought designs and social discernments, encouraging a more profound appreciation of the cognitive measurements of language structure. Bridging the hole between typological considers and cognitive etymology not as it were upgrades our understanding of dialect but moreover improves our experiences into the human encounter passed on through phonetic expressions. Eventually, recognizing and considering these complexities will clear the way for more nuanced approaches to dialect instruction, interpretation hypothesis, and intercultural communication.

Conclusion. In conclusion, this consider affirms that whereas English and Uzbek have particular aspectual procedures, they too share commonalities that warrant advance examination. English's morphologically checked perspective contrasts with Uzbek's dependence on lexical and relevant instruments. Also, cognitive and social impacts rise as pivotal components in forming aspectual elucidation. These discoveries contribute essentially to phonetic typology and techniques in dialect learning, advertising important bits of knowledge for teachers and interpreters alike.

Recommendations. Advance inquire about ought to investigate how viewpoint interatomic with methodology and evidentiality in both dialects, improving the understanding of aspectual refinements. Interpreters ought to embrace context-based approaches to address aspectual bumbles viably. Dialect teachers are empowered the relevant utilization of perspective through comparative works out, whereas dialect learners ought to center on upgrading perusing and tuning in comprehension abilities to way better internalize aspectual refinements.

References:

1. Bakhtiyarova GG. A Comparative study of conceptual metaphors in English and Uzbek newspapers. *British Journal of Global Ecology and Sustainable Development*. 2024 Jan;24.
2. Eshkuvatova KL, Tuychieva MS, Furkatova SD. Comparative analysis of the usage of concept "hospitality" in the phraseological units of English, Russian and Uzbek languages on the basis of the English translation of the novel "Silence" by S. Ahmad. *Linguistics and Culture Review*. 2021 Dec 15:1640-8.
3. HAKIMOVA Z. The structural and semantic analysis of jargons in English and Uzbek languages. *News of the NUUZ*. 2024 Oct 29;1(1.10. 1):341-3.
4. Kholmatova MI. Comparative analysis of adjectival idioms: exploring linguistic and cultural dimensions in English and Uzbek languages. *American Journal Of Social Sciences And Humanity Research*. 2024 May 31;4(05):257-64.
5. Nazarov B. Measurement units in the English and Uzbek languages: linguocognitive and linguocultural investigation. *QO 'QON UNIVERSITETI XABARNOMASI*. 2024 Dec 29;13:306-8.
6. Nizomova MB, Elmirzayeva MD, Ashurova MA, Nusratov JB, Suvanov ID. Structural and Semantic Analysis of Social Protection Terms in English and Uzbek Languages.
7. Shermat ogli MS. Lexical-semantic analysis of ecological terms in Uzbek and English. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Science and Technology*. 2024 Jun 24;4(6):512-5.
8. Sobirjonova PU. A comparative analysis of terms associated with life activities in English and Uzbek. *shokh library*. 2025 Feb 8.
9. Umarova MS. A comparative study of the "tradition" concept in Uzbek and Russian linguistic frameworks. *The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations*. 2025 Jan 9;7(01):5-7.