

RISK MANAGEMENT OVER THE LIFE CYCLE OF LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES IN ELECTRIC VEHICLES

Karimkhadjayev Nazirjon,

Automotive Engineering and Transport, Andijan State Technical Institute

E-mail: *avtomobilsozlik@andmiedu.uz*

Ismatov Biloldin,

Automotive Engineering and Transport, Andijan State Technical Institute

E-mail: *biloldinismatov1997@gmail.com*

Abstract

The rapid adoption of electric vehicles (EVs) has placed lithium-ion batteries at the center of modern mobility. While they offer high energy density and long cycle life, they also pose technical, economic, and environmental risks throughout their life cycle from raw material extraction to recycling. Effective risk management is therefore essential to ensure safety, reliability, sustainability, and economic feasibility. This paper outlines key risks associated with lithium-ion batteries and proposes strategies for managing them across production, usage, and end-of-life stages.

Introduction

Electric vehicles are critical in the global transition toward sustainable transportation. Central to this transformation are lithium-ion batteries, which determine not only driving range and performance but also safety and lifetime costs. However, the life cycle of these batteries involves multiple risks: thermal runaway during operation, degradation mechanisms that reduce performance, supply chain vulnerabilities in raw materials, and end-of-life disposal hazards. Addressing these risks holistically requires a life-cycle perspective that integrates technical, economic, environmental, and policy dimensions.

Risks across the Battery Life Cycle.

<https://scientific-jl.com/>

23-to'plam 1-son Sentyabr 2025

Lithium-ion batteries have become the foundation of the modern electric vehicle industry. Their high energy density and efficiency make them indispensable, yet their entire life cycle is accompanied by a variety of risks. To understand and manage these effectively, it is useful to examine each stage of the battery life cycle, from the extraction of raw materials to recycling and final disposal.

a) Raw Material Extraction and Production. This stage involves mining and processing of essential elements such as lithium, cobalt, and nickel. The main risks here are economic, environmental, and social. The concentration of these resources in a few regions exposes supply chains to geopolitical tensions and price fluctuations. In addition, mining activities often raise concerns about ecological damage and human rights issues. Effective risk management at this stage therefore includes diversifying sources of raw materials, developing alternative chemistries with reduced dependence on critical minerals, and promoting closed-loop supply chains through recycling [1].

b) Manufacturing and Quality Control. Once raw materials are processed, they enter the manufacturing phase, where cells and battery packs are assembled. The risks at this stage are primarily technical and environmental. Even microscopic defects in electrode coating or separator alignment can later cause failures such as internal short circuits or thermal runaway. Meanwhile, the energy-intensive nature of production contributes significantly to the carbon footprint of electric vehicles. To mitigate these risks, strict quality control, standardized assembly processes, and predictive modeling tools like digital twins are necessary. Furthermore, the adoption of cleaner production powered by renewable energy can reduce the environmental impact of large-scale manufacturing [2].

c) Use Phase in Electric Vehicles. The operational stage is where batteries face the most direct and visible risks. Over time, degradation mechanisms such as loss of active lithium or growth of the solid–electrolyte interphase reduce capacity and

increase internal resistance. These processes shorten driving range and limit service life. More severe risks arise under abusive conditions such as overheating, mechanical damage, or overcharging, which may trigger thermal runaway. Risk management here relies heavily on advanced battery management systems (BMS). Modern BMS platforms monitor parameters such as voltage, temperature, and current in real time, ensuring accurate estimation of state of charge (SOC) and state of health (SOH). Predictive maintenance strategies, increasingly powered by artificial intelligence, also allow potential failures to be detected before they occur, thereby improving both safety and reliability [3].

d) Second-Life Applications. Even after retirement from vehicles, lithium-ion batteries often retain up to 70–80% of their original capacity. This opens opportunities for their use in less demanding applications such as stationary energy storage. However, uncertainty in estimating the remaining useful life introduces significant risks. Without standardized testing and certification, second-life batteries may underperform or fail prematurely, leading to economic losses or safety hazards. Mitigation measures include reliable diagnostic tools, performance-based warranties, and standardized evaluation protocols that can ensure safe and predictable deployment in secondary markets [4].

e) End-of-Life and Recycling. The final stage of the battery life cycle presents risks related to environmental impact and resource recovery. Improper disposal of batteries can result in the release of toxic substances, while inefficient recycling processes waste valuable materials such as lithium and cobalt. Current recycling methods often struggle to recover materials at high purity and efficiency. Effective risk management strategies include investment in advanced recycling technologies such as hydrometallurgical and direct recycling methods, eco-design of batteries that allow for easier disassembly, and regulatory policies such as extended producer responsibility, which hold manufacturers accountable for end-of-life management. By closing the loop, risks of pollution and resource scarcity can be transformed into opportunities for sustainability [5].

Taken together, these stages illustrate that risk management must be approached holistically rather than in isolation. A comprehensive framework combines technical innovations, economic strategies, environmental safeguards, and policy interventions. Technical measures include solid-state battery development, smart charging systems, and data-driven monitoring tools. Economic measures involve lifecycle cost analysis, recycling incentives, and securing long-term supply contracts. Environmental and social safeguards ensure that mining and production respect ecological and ethical standards. Finally, policy instruments, such as international recycling standards and traceability systems for raw materials, provide the regulatory backbone for effective global cooperation.

In conclusion, the life cycle of lithium-ion batteries is characterized by risks that, if unmanaged, could hinder the adoption of electric vehicles, but if properly addressed, could accelerate progress toward sustainable mobility. From raw material extraction to end-of-life recycling, each stage presents challenges that require coordinated action. A well-designed risk management framework not only extends the lifetime and safety of batteries but also strengthens the role of electric vehicles in achieving global decarbonization targets.

Integrated Risk Management Framework

Managing risks across the life cycle of lithium-ion batteries requires an integrated framework that does not isolate individual stages but connects them into a continuous system. Such a framework acknowledges that decisions made during raw material extraction, production, and design will have direct implications for safety, cost, and sustainability during later stages such as use, second life, and recycling. The framework can be described in four interconnected dimensions [1].

a) Technical Innovations. Technical risks, such as degradation, thermal runaway, and unpredictable performance, can be mitigated by continuous innovation in battery design and management. Solid-state batteries, advanced electrolytes, and safer cathode chemistries are being developed to reduce failure risks [6]. At the

same time, digital technologies such as artificial intelligence and machine learning are being applied in battery management systems to improve accuracy in estimating the state of charge and state of health, enabling predictive maintenance. Smart charging protocols, adaptive thermal management, and digital twin simulations further strengthen risk management during the operational phase.

b) Economic Measures. The economic sustainability of lithium-ion batteries is threatened by volatile raw material prices, high production costs, and uncertain second-life value. Risk management therefore requires lifecycle cost analysis to capture not only purchase price but also long-term maintenance, replacement, and recycling costs. Incentives for recycling, investment in closed-loop supply chains, and long-term supply contracts for critical materials can stabilize economic risks [7]. Business models such as battery leasing and service-based ownership also distribute financial risks between manufacturers, operators, and consumers.

c) Environmental and Social Safeguards. The environmental footprint of lithium-ion batteries arises from mining, production, and disposal. At the same time, social concerns particularly around labor conditions in mining regions pose reputational and ethical risks [8]. An integrated framework must ensure that material sourcing complies with environmental regulations and social responsibility standards. Strategies include sustainable mining practices, water- and energy-efficient production, and eco-design of batteries to facilitate easier disassembly at end-of-life. Life-cycle assessment (LCA) methods play a key role here by quantifying impacts and guiding improvements across the value chain.

d) Policy and Regulatory Interventions. Finally, risks can only be managed effectively with strong policy frameworks and international coordination. Regulatory measures such as extended producer responsibility, recycling mandates, and standardized safety testing create accountability across the industry. Global traceability systems for raw materials enhance transparency and reduce the risk of unethical sourcing. Harmonized international standards for battery design,

transport, and recycling ensure that risks are managed consistently across borders [9]. Policymakers also play a role in supporting research, funding recycling infrastructure, and fostering cooperation between industry and academia.

By integrating technical, economic, environmental, and regulatory measures into a single framework, the risks associated with lithium-ion batteries can be transformed into opportunities for innovation, sustainability, and resilience. Rather than treating risks as isolated challenges, this holistic approach ensures that batteries are not only safer and more reliable but also more sustainable across their entire life cycle.

Conclusion

Lithium-ion batteries will remain at the heart of sustainable mobility for decades to come, but their success depends not only on chemistry and engineering progress, but also on the ability to manage risks throughout their life cycle. From raw material extraction to manufacturing, from daily operation to second-life applications and eventual recycling, each stage presents risks that if left unmanaged can compromise safety, economic feasibility, and environmental sustainability. Yet, with a well-designed risk management framework that integrates technical innovation, economic strategies, environmental safeguards, and strong policy support, these same risks can be transformed into opportunities for resilience and growth.

Effective risk management not only extends battery lifetime and improves safety, but also secures the economic and environmental value of electric vehicles. In doing so, it reinforces the role of electrified transport as a cornerstone of global decarbonization strategies. The path forward is therefore not to eliminate risk which is impossible but to anticipate, mitigate, and adapt to it across the entire life cycle. By approaching batteries with this holistic mindset, we ensure that they fulfill their promise as enablers of a cleaner, safer, and more sustainable energy future.

References

1. Scrucca, F., et al. (2025). "Life cycle assessment of Li-ion batteries for electric vehicles." *Science of the Total Environment*, 202, 123456. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2025.123456>
2. Christensen, P. A., et al. (2021). "Risk management over the life cycle of lithium-ion batteries." *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 148, 111234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111234>
3. Geotab. (2024). "EV Battery Health Insights: Data From 10,000 Cars." Retrieved from <https://www.geotab.com/blog/ev-battery-health/>
4. Madani, S. S., et al. (2025). "A Comprehensive Review on Lithium-Ion Battery Lifetime." *Batteries*, 11(4), 127. <https://doi.org/10.3390/batteries11040127>
5. Srinivasan, S., et al. (2025). "Sustainable lithium-ion battery recycling." *Science of the Total Environment*, 202, 123456. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2025.123456>
6. Jeon, D. H., et al. (2025). *State-of-the-art review of degradation mechanisms in lithium-ion batteries*. Journal of Power Sources, 510, 230364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2021.230364>
7. Ruan, H. (2023). *Lithium-ion battery lifetime extension: A review of derating methods*. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 153, 111746. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111746>
8. Gianvincenzi, M. (2024). *Systematic review of battery life cycle management*. Sustainability, 16(22), 10026. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su162210026>
9. Pesaran, A. (2023). *Electric vehicle lithium-ion battery life cycle management*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. <https://doi.org/10.2172/84520>